



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_51134
Title of the Manuscript:	A short review on the halotolerant green microalga <i>Asteromonas gracilis</i> Artari with emphasis on its uses
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title "A short review on the halotolerant green microalga <i>Asteromonas gracilis</i> Artari with emphasis on its uses", is interesting. But the author followed the history of the green microalga. It is important that the author has to follow the related published articles before writing the manuscript.	Although the reviewer made an interesting quote on the title I have to defend my selected title and I can to some degree satisfy the reviewer consideration. 1. I selected the term "short" exactly because it was a rather targeted type of review just to highlight the situation of knowledge on <i>Asteromonas</i> both from historical and contemporary aspects especially in terms of its uses. 2. If I had to do a thorough review then I would exhaust the literature on it (not so extended by the way) and not present my own unique material (especially photos). 3. It is a "short" review because mentions only the essential knowledge on <i>Asteromonas</i> from contribution works of well known scientists. 3. So after much thinking I was not able to find other way but to describe this review as "short". I think the readers would catch the point. After the above I want to ask the editor to keep my original title as it is.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No