



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_50638
Title of the Manuscript:	Therapeutic Effects of Viscum album Combined with Zingiber officinale against CCL4 induced Liver Injury in Albino Rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>All the numbering should be removed</p> <p>Your introduction is not explicit enough</p> <p>No literature on viscum album</p> <p>No objective of the study</p> <p>What is Kola doing in the methodology</p> <p>where are the superscripts on your mean values to show the highest and the lowest means and their similarities</p> <p>Your discussion is too short compare to the array of result that you have</p> <p>Your conclusion is not concise please reduce it to just one sentence</p>	The manuscript have been modified
Minor REVISION comments	The research work is relivant but need serious revisiting and corrections	
Optional/General comments	If the corrections are well effected then it can be published	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>There are no ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	