



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_51127
Title of the Manuscript:	An updated algorithm for moderate censoring in time-to-event data using rank-based regression
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>A commendable work with deep insight into the crux of the matter. However may concern is hinged on the derivations that culminated to equation 8 bearing in mind the fact that social science research via regression simply intends to establish the strength of relationship between two or more variables. Delving into further inquiry calls to question our measurement strategy.</p> <p>Notwithstanding our scientific shortcomings in terms of Event history analysis. Truncation in itself challenges our existence as social scientist no doubt.</p> <p>In any case, I must appreciate the author's attempt</p>	Thank you for appreciating my work. Your comments are very helpful.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	