



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_50932
Title of the Manuscript:	Amelioration of monosodium glutamate- induced testicular damage and infertility in male rats by water melon and cantaloupe seeds extract and juices
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	Typical error	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>Yes. Ethical committee permission needed</p> <p>Should be mention in the article.</p>	<p>1. The authors are responsible for conducting high-quality research characterized by scientific integrity, truthfulness, and accountability.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The authors avoided bias in any aspect of research, including design, data analysis and interpretation. 3. The authors respect the contributions of other researchers and observe standards of authorship and cooperation. 4. The scientific purpose of the research was of sufficient potential significance to justify the use of animals. 5. The species chosen for the study was the best suited to answer the question(s) posed about the problem. Moreover, it is noteworthy that experimental design helped reducing the number of animals used in research since they allow the authors to collect data using the minimum number of animals required with giving statistically valid results. 6. The experiment was performed with due care and humanity and use anesthetic chemical (ether) of sufficient power to prevent the animals feeling pain. 7. Suitable records were maintained with respect to experiments performed on animals. 8. The author ensured that all individuals who deal with animals were under their supervision and received explicit instruction in experimental methods and in the care, maintenance, and handling of the rats. Persons engaged in animal experimentation have a moral responsibility for the welfare of the animals during their use in experiments. 9. The living conditions of animals were appropriate for their species and contribute to their health and comfort (the housing, feeding, and care of all). 10. The authors were refining the experimental procedures themselves. They were carefully assessing the method of administration, the effects of the substance on the animal, and the amount of handling and restraint required. 11. Animals used were directed by a veterinarian (Dr. Ahmed Abdellah, head of experimental surgery and animal research unit, Medical Research Center, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt) who is trained and experienced in the proper care, handling, and use of the species being studied.
--	--	--