



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_50591
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing PIGPEN Image Steganography Method by using Zigzag scanning
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Page 3, all equations need to be numbered. Every symbol used in equation needs to be defined. Figure 6. "Method in [13]" should be "Method in [14]".	Done
Minor REVISION comments	Page 1, Section 1, paragraph 2, 1 st sentence. "The basic Steganography system ..." should be "The basic steganography system ...".	Done
Optional/General comments	Need to update the references as most if them are relatively old references. Better to compare the performance of the proposed method with reference [9].	There is no need to compare the performance of the proposed method with reference [9], because the proposed method enhance the security and the PSNR measure the similarity between the stego-image and the cover image.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	