



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_51031
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH AND YIELD OF RADISH (Raphanus sativus L.) AS INFLUENCED BY DIFFERENT LEVELS OF KALLI ORGANIC FERTILIZER ON THE JOS PLATEAU
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please, Author should check the and correct or explain all the ones in Red or may delete them. The ones in Yellow has been corrected so it can stand in the work.</p> <p>For easy identification of the things to do, all the corrections in both Red and Yellow are in Lines: 20-22, 31, 39, 43, 47, 49, 50, 55, 58, 61, 204-217 and 218-229.</p> <p>METHODOLOGY Please authors must provide the protocols or methods used in determining the following; pH, P, Ca, organic matter, K, Mg, N.</p> <p>The table of Kalli fertilizer is the same as what has been provided in the preceeding paragraph. Again, the explanation provided for that description was too short. What might hsvc accounted for the increase in the Nitrogen and Organic matter.</p> <p>DISCUSSION Please, the discussion is too narrow, the leaves, root as well as the yield must be discussed thoroughly with appropriate refernces. There was no mention of leaf area in the discussion. Again, more importantly, the chemical characteristics aspect was not discussed at all. At least there should have been a discussion on its influence on the growth, leaf number, plant height, root and yield as well. This will make the work solid. Because there was a reason why the Kalli at various levels were used. Once it has been reported in the write up then its results and the effect must be stated and thoroughly discussed.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Thanks for the observation 2. Methods for analysis has been included 3. One table is for soil test and the other for kalli organic fertilizer composition 4. Discussion has been improved, the study is on growth and yield and not on chemical properties of the crop or soil
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Current references were used. It is a good and interesting work. However, the chemical aspect and the corrections made must be included.</p>	

PART 2:



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No ethical issues