



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_50715
Title of the Manuscript:	Explanatory factors for adherence to self-care therapy among type II diabetics in Bandundu-Ville, Democratic Republic of the Congo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The issue is really important. However, some deficiencies exist.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I couldn't see any statement that the ethics committee was taken in the material method department.</li> <li>2. Is the reliability of the scale used validated? must be written</li> <li>3. More recent sources should be used.</li> <li>4. The discussion is not sufficiently supported by the literature.</li> <li>5. The language should be reviewed.</li> </ol>	The text has been modified
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	--------------------	---



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	
---	---	--