



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_51071
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF THE MIXTURE OF WATER FROM COOKED BEAN AND HUMAN URINE ON THE GROWTH OF SOME COMMON PLANTS IN CAMEROON: CASE STUDY OF Talinum fruticosum and Ocimum gratissimum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article entitled, "Impact of the mixture of water from cooked bean and human urine on the growth of some common plants in cameroon: case study of <i>Talinum fruticosum</i> and <i>Ocimum gratissimum</i>". The research work and findings in the manuscripts are new. Results are properly presented and discussed very efficiently in the manuscript. I recommend this manuscript for publication after minor revision.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the manuscript title the full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>). 3. Follow the pattern references according to journal pattern. 4. Many typographical, grammar or syntax errors seen in the manuscript may be revised. 5. Results and discussion should be separate. 6. See the attached file for more comments. 	Thanks for all you propositions to improve the quality of my research paper.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The article entitled, "Impact of the mixture of water from cooked bean and human urine on the growth of some common plants in cameroon: case study of <i>Talinum fruticosum</i> and <i>Ocimum gratissimum</i>". The research work and findings in the manuscripts are new. Results are properly presented and discussed very efficiently in the manuscript. I recommend this manuscript for publication after minor revision.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the manuscript title the full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>). 3. Follow the pattern references according to journal pattern. 4. Many typographical, grammar or syntax errors seen in the manuscript may be revised. 5. Results and discussion should be separate. 6. See the attached file for more comments. 	I am really glad to receive all your remarks. They will be taken into account.
Optional/General comments	<p>The article entitled, "Impact of the mixture of water from cooked bean and human urine on the growth of some common plants in cameroon: case study of <i>Talinum fruticosum</i> and <i>Ocimum gratissimum</i>". The research work and findings in the manuscripts are new. Results are properly presented and discussed very efficiently in the manuscript. I recommend this manuscript for publication after minor revision.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the manuscript title the full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>). 3. Follow the pattern references according to journal pattern. 4. Many typographical, grammar or syntax errors seen in the manuscript may be revised. 5. Results and discussion should be separate. 6. See the attached file for more comments. 	The remarks made are very important for me. Thank you.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Thank you very much. The corrections proposed in the manuscript have done and highlighted as proposed