



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_51249
Title of the Manuscript:	Lemna sp. AS A CHROMIUM HEAVY METAL FITOREMEDIATOR ON TANNERY ASTEWATER AND ITS POTENTIAL USE AS FISH FEED
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is good, makes original scientific contribution, well organized and can be published with no modification. However, author should endeavour to check shaded portions	Thanks for the advice. I really appreciate it. I have checked again and do some revision based on the comment with highlight. Thank you very much for your comment to make this manuscript better for publication.
Minor REVISION comments	NIL	
Optional/General comments	NIL	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	