



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_40203
Title of the Manuscript:	INCIDENCE OF PLANT VIRAL DISEASE SYMPTOMS AND THEIR TRANSMISSION AGENTS IN DUTSIN-MA METROPOLIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The present work presents an interesting approach to evaluate the presence of viruses in the main crops in five different locations.</p> <p>However, the introduction is not adequate for the work done. Since there is no consistent and up-to-date review of the use of the plant disease index method in disease diagnosis. The authors also do not cite articles on the parameters that can be used in the diagnosis of plant viruses.</p> <p>In material and methods it was not mentioned the number of plants with and without symptoms were evaluated for each crop and how many plants and which parts were collected for further studies. The data is important for the evaluation of the incidence of this graph.</p> <p>On the other hand, the visualization of the symptoms to diagnose the presence of virus can lead to false results, since the symptoms can be confused with nutritional deficiencies, genetic variegations among other causes of chlorosis and yellowing of the leaves.</p> <p>The authors did not discuss the correlations between the used methodology of plant disease index method and the result obtained in the relation virus x host x vector x environment.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Thank you sir/ma</li> <li>✓ A summary of more of the introduction has been made and added highlighted in yellow. Diagnosis of plant virus was based on visualisation of the leaves to determine the type of plant viral diseases as reported by [9,15].</li> <li>✓ The number of crops collected showing affected and unaffected is included in the appendix.</li> <li>✓ Discussion now includes the correlation between the disease in relation to virus, vectors and environment</li> </ul>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Suggestions in the text	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The work is interesting, because it makes possible to carry out a survey of the cultures and the main diseases that occur in different localities.</p> <p>I suggest that the authors review the discussion for a better use of the results obtained.</p>	The entire discussion has been reviewed to conform to the results obtained as suggested.