



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_50887
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ANIMAL MODELS FOR LEUKAEMIA INDUCTION USING CHEMICAL CARCINOGENS
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The review is publishable only with minor improvements. The manuscript was concise and merits appraisal.</p> <p>i. A lot of relevant studies were referenced in the manuscript, but a handful of the works cited date beyond a decade, two or more; I will suggest that the authors update their references.</p> <p>ii. Some molecular insights/approaches into the work would do the review article a lot of good.</p>	<p>i. Thank you very much for this valuable observation; we thought of including some of the works done much earlier so as not to forget with the contributions of our great pioneer scientists in the early discovery of this important field of research, thank you.</p> <p>ii. Thank you so much on the suggestion to include some molecular insights in the review. We will consider this suggestion in our future manuscript, thank you very much Sir.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>i. There is need for a grammatical overhaul of some of the various sections of the manuscript in order not to lose the science being conveyed.</p> <p>ii. Authors should consider possible rephrasing of the title to make the work more scientific e.g. <b>SOME CHEMICAL CARCINOGENS FOR LEUKAEMIA INDUCTION AND THEIR ANIMAL MODELS</b></p>	These suggestions were accepted with thanks
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is generally a good work with best avenue for future research.	Thank you, Sir, for the commendation, we really appreciate.



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	No Sir, there are no ethical issues in the manuscript. Thank you

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>