



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_50605
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of cowpea biscuits on nutritional and cognitive development of pre-school children
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Put study are in the title also put the word supplementation Why only Saraswati Shishu Mandir school chosed ? More details on IQ tool (Hema Pandey's cognitive development) and put reference Who did Sensory evaluation ? explain</p> <p>How Nutrient analysis of biscuits done?</p> <p>Did authors control for other confounders? Did the authors asked about children nutritional intake ? 24 hours intake</p> <p>How to be sure that improvement in Cognitive was due to biscuits ?</p>	<p>Done in the manuscript. It was selected randomly through lottery system. Reference has been added in the manuscript. A panel 15 semi- trained judges comprising of post graduate students and faculty members from the Department of Foods & Nutrition, College of Home Science, Pantnagar did the sensory evaluation. Age of children was considered confounder and it was controlled. Since the nutritional requirements of children do not differ by sexes therefore it was not considered the confounding variable. The nutritional intake of children was similar and it was recorded. Slight improvement was seen in Cognitive and it can be said that it was due to biscuits against the control (without biscuits) and another control (refined wheat flour biscuits).</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Interesting topic	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	