



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_51113
Title of the Manuscript:	Azole Resistance and Detection of the ERG11 gene in Clinical Candida albicans Isolated from Pregnant women with vulvovaginitis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study is quite interesting and scientifically robust.	
Minor REVISION comments	See Attached Ms Copy	<p>All comments have been attended to as attached (Rev-1-SRR_51113_vic_A)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Brief introduction added to the abstract - Clarification: From the specimens, 67 isolates were recovered and 41 representing 61.19% were C. albicans. Of these 41, 27(65.85%) were resistant to fluconazole and voriconazole, while 25(60.98%) were to nystatin. Furthermore, of the 27 azole resistant, 24(88.89%) harboured ERGII genes - The authors collected specimens and isolation was done. Nonetheless, we have accepted the introduction of attending Federal Medical Centre, Yenagoa, Nigeria to the title. <p>We felt its sufficient enough for this study.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No exclusion of patients was done. All patients attending the O&G unit with symptoms of vulvovaginitis and were referred for laboratory investigations that accepted to participate during the period of study were included. However, No patients were excluded because of their previous medication. -Ethical Reference (FMA/REC/19/013 - Statistical analysis inserted - The PCR results were to confirm our isolates as C. albicans and the detection of ERGII genes among the isolates. Thus we believe that our conclusion highlights them -Acknowledgment included - References are in accordance with the journals format.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	