



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_51340
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of The Association Between Ischemia Modified Albumin (IMA), Glycemic And Lipid Status In Diabetic Nephropathy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1) Association of Hypertension has not been mentioned. DN 1, 2 and 3 group has uncontrolled BP in ascending order. Whether HTN was a confounding factor or not is not clear. If not whether they were receiving any treatment or not? May be the HTN is the cause or the results of DN ? In this study association of HTN must be clear.</p> <p>2) Duration of DM status in each group is not mentioned which is an important parameter.</p> <p>3) Whether DM patients were receiving any medications or not?</p>	<p>1) Association of hypertension in pathophysiology as well as generation of IMA is mentioned in discussion. Of course it is important factor but its not the primary objective of this study. So, not focussed on it. In limitation and scope of study it added.</p> <p>2) Duration of diabetes is mentioned as Diabetes interval in Table 1, this is changed now into Diabetes duration now as per reviewer's suggestion.</p> <p>3) Drug history were not included in this study. This also included in limitation part.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Few Grammatical mistakes.	Corrected and highlighted
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Mentioned in the manuscript.