



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_50843
Title of the Manuscript:	Changes in Renal Parameters Associated with Typhoid Infection
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Author must correct the title because he doesn't specify where the study were developed</li> <li>• Author must correct the specimen collection paragraph. "Ethnical approval"?, Cliniscan diagnostic center or clinical diagnostic center</li> <li>• In the specimen collection paragraph, author must specify the location of the clinical diagnostic center as well as the exclusion and inclusion criteria.</li> <li>• In study design paragraph, author must specify the type and design study.</li> <li>• Author must specify the type of sample obtained from each patients (blood, saliva, etc)</li> <li>• Author must specify the spectrophotometer employed (model, brand, etc) as well as the Randoxkit information</li> <li>• Table 1. Eliminate superscripts and add a fourth column presenting the p values</li> <li>• Table 2. Make the same changes to table 2</li> </ul>	<p>This has been effected as advised Correction on this section has been effected, the name Cliniscan is correct as written Location has been specified, exclusion and inclusion criteria are clearly stated Study design has been changed to experimental groups Specimen collected has been stated clearly This section is clearly written Superscripts were used to separate the means in each column which is global best practice and require no adjustment Superscripts were used to separate the means in each column which is global best practice and require no adjustment</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p><u>Yes. Author mention that study was approved by an ethical committee but need to be specified</u></p>	