



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_50843
Title of the Manuscript:	Changes in Renal Parameters Associated with Typhoid Infection
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Author must correct the title because he doesn't specify where the study were developed</li> <li>Author must correct the specimen collection paragraph. "Ethnical approval"?, Cliniscan diagnostic center or clinical diagnostic center</li> <li>In the specimen collection paragraph, author must specify the location of the clinical diagnostic center as well as the exclusion and inclusion criteria.</li> <li>In study design paragraph, author must specify the type and design study.</li> <li>Author must specify the type of sample obtained from each patients (blood, saliva, etc)</li> <li>Author must specify the spectrophotometer employed (model, brand, etc) as well as the Randoxkit information</li> <li>Table 1. Eliminate superscripts and add a fourth column presenting the p values</li> <li>Table 2. Make the same changes to table 2</li> </ul>	<p>This has been effected as advised</p> <p>Correction on this section has been effected, the name Cliniscan is correct as written</p> <p>Location has been specified, exclusion and inclusion criteria are clearly stated</p> <p>Study design has been changed to experimental groups</p> <p>Specimen collected has been stated clearly</p> <p>This section is clearly written</p> <p>Superscripts were used to separate the means in each column which is global best practice and require no adjustment</p> <p>Superscripts were used to separate the means in each column which is global best practice and require no adjustment</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p><i>Yes. Author mention that study was approved by an ethical committee but need to be specified</i></p>	