



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_50298
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METAL CONTENT IN AFRICAN GIANT RAT (<i>Cricetomys gambianus</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It is an interesting paper.</p> <p>In section 2.1. the situation of the study area must be indicated on a map. Indicate the type of climate in the Köppen classification.</p> <p>In section 2.2. indicate the characteristics of the AAS</p> <p>Table 1. indicate the Units.</p> <p>Figures 1, 2 and 3: Indicate the Units in the ordinate axis.</p> <p>Figure 4: the measures are 0, 020 or 0.020</p>	I agreed with the reviewer comments. However, figures 1, 2 and 3 can still be do away with from the study and has been removed completely. The manuscript has been re-written and all necessary adjustment have been made.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	