



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_50837
Title of the Manuscript:	SUITABILITY OF ITOBE LIMESTONE DEPOSIT IN CEMENT MANUFACTURING: A COMPARATIVE ANALYTICAL APPROACH
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There should be map of the study area showing sampling points	Yes, the authors are very satisfied with the reviewers' comments. All the corrected portion of the manuscript are highlighted in yellow. The authors are very grateful for the in depth and thorough analyses by the reviewers which has also helped to broaden the authors knowledge of the study. A map of the study area has also been included in the manuscript. Due to certain restraints, the authors couldn't access that with the sampling points.
Minor REVISION comments		All the minor reviewer's comments have been effected and highlighted
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	