



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_51122
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibiotic resistance and production of extended spectrum $\beta$ -lactamases by clinical Gram-negative bacteria in Benin.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	From my perspective as clinical infectious disease, I see that the manuscript is well written (few grammar and language issues) with a good addition to the regional antibiogram and ESBL subtypes. However, the discussion was too lengthy, and the authors need to focus on the title aim. Clarify in the conclusions of the abstract, and the conclusion at the end of the manuscript what were the final ESBL rates in Benin and the rates of the subtypes.	<b>Thank you for these comments.</b> The discussion is reduced focusing in the title aim. The rate of the final ESBL and subtypes are clarify in the conclusions.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	