



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50590
Title of the Manuscript:	Safety and efficacy profile of CSE-1034 as a prolonged de-escalation therapy in prosthetic joint infection: A case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Don't use we / our like words in the research article.</p> <p>Abstract should be elaborated.</p> <p>Corrections have been made in the manuscript.</p> <p>Grammar and language should be checked.</p> <p>Case presentation should be written as sentences according to the methods.</p> <p>Conclusion part should be written separately.</p> <p>What about ethical approval?</p>	Corrections Done
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Future suggestions and recommendation should be included.</p> <p>References are not enough. If possible. References should be increased.</p> <p>References should be re-checked. Because, some references haven't page number, some have repeated years, etc.</p>	Reference added and corrections done
Optional/General comments	<p>Interesting study. But, can't take final decision based on the results. Because, it is a case study.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethics issue in this manuscript as it's a retrospective study and patient details are kept confidential.