



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_50585
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges in Providing Immunization Services amongst Community Pharmacists in South-South, Nigeria. A cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study is interesting but I believe some clarifications regarding the vaccination offer would be useful. In general, do patients have to pay for vaccinations? Are the costs different if the vaccination is performed in the hospital or by a doctor or a pharmacist? patients undergo vaccination even if they do not have a immunization Administration Record Sheets or an immunization record Card ?	Remember, the focus of the study is challenges faced by community pharmacists in providing immunisation services not hospital pharmacists providing immunisation services. This study did not bother to highlight the cost implication for accessing services. Patients may have immunization record Card, but do community pharmacists have record of all immunization activities? This is the focus of the study
Minor REVISION comments		Note: Hospital-based pharmacists is different from community pharmacists. Also, hospital-based immunisation services is different from community oriented immunization services.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No Ethical issues