



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_51181
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydric-stress tolerance in cocona ( <i>Solanum sessiliflorum</i> Dunal)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Spelling mistake in Table 1 2013 Sep</li> <li>2. The study was conducted, from January 2014 to August 2016, but the Table 1 shows the. Temperature, relative humid, and evapotranspiration per month. Manaus 2013-2014. If the study was conducted, from January 2014 how the fruit harvests in 2014 February month. CONFUSION</li> <li>3. In Table 2 the footnote on mean separation statement 'Means with the same superscript are not significantly different (p&gt; 0.05)' was not included. State whether means with the same superscript are considered as vertical or horizontal.</li> <li>4. Similar for Table 3 the footnote on mean separation</li> </ol>	<p>Spelling mistake in Table 1 was mended.</p> <p>The date of experiment was rewritten in the manuscript and the footnote was set in tables 2 and 3.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Revisions has to be done as above comments	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	