



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_51159
Title of the Manuscript:	Service Quality and Customer Satisfaction on Budget Airlines: Kano model approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. This is a well written paper. However, since there are some typos and grammatical errors the paper needs a proof-reading. (Line 248, ... Based on <b>the</b> studies by Matzler and Hinterhuber..., Line 293.... there were three must-be factors were identified.,</p> <p>2. The authors can improve the conclusion part and develop deeper recommendations for researchers and practitioners.</p>	<p>1. Thanks. These two are revised and other careless typos are revised too.</p> <p>2. More recommendations added in conclusion.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	