



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_49659
Title of the Manuscript:	AN INTEGRATED APPROACH TO THE MANAGEMENT OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE IN A TYPICAL CITY IN SOUTHWEST NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No mention of how the 125 respondents were determined and selected for use as sample for the study	The manuscript has been modified
Minor REVISION comments	As highlighted in the text should be worked on	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is generally of adequate technicalities and presentation, but the pointed out gaps in technicality and grammars as well as referencing style should be addressed before publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	