



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_51292
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF BUIDLING COLLAPSE IMPACT ON SOCIO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN PORT HARCOURT METRPOLIS OF RIVERS STATE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is an important topic to achieve sustainable economic development of Nigeria. Author has included various facets of building collapse, which has created a negative impact on building residential apartments to ensure sustainable development of Nigeria's socio-economic scenario.</p> <p>Even though the manuscript has included relevant sub sections to cover various technical and economic factors, to arrest building collapse the structuring of the manuscript limited the importance of the topic due to number of language based errors. Many jumbled up complex and compound sentences have downgraded the quality of the manuscript and inadvertently projected wrong conclusions. I found it very difficult to decipher number of sentences in "Abstract and Introduction".</p> <p>Since survey schedule has been taken from published literature number of errors came down. Author seems to have no clear idea about plagiarism. He failed to insert at appropriate places important references, there by ignoring the contributions made by previous researchers.</p> <p>In spite of these lapses the outcome of the organised survey has yielded good results, which can be utilised by government and non government stakeholders to use in arresting building damages, mainly due to absence of strong building codes.</p> <p>After inserting relevant points and suggestions made by me the manuscript may be cleared for publication.</p>	The manuscript has been modified
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Corrections made by me to enhance quality of the manuscript need to be properly inserted at appropriate places.</p> <p>Former colleagues and members of survey group should be thanked for their valuable contributions.</p>	
Optional/General comments	See the above	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	