



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_50923
Title of the Manuscript:	LITERATURE REVIEW OF TRADITIONAL SIDDHA DIAGNOSTIC METHOD OF MANIKKADAI NOOL
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Corrections have been done in the Manuscript. Numbering order should be changed. 1. Introduction, 2. Methodology..... Font style, grammar and language also should be reconsidered throughout the article.</p> <p><i>Detail about Manikkadai Nool</i> should be clearly stated. Justification should be clearly written.</p> <p>Literature Facts are not enough.</p> <p>Simple statistical method results didn't mention Discussion should be written with any related study results.</p> <p>Conclusion part should be improved. Any relation between the <i>ManikkadaiNool</i> and <i>Naddi</i>? Didn't refer any related manuscripts???</p>	<p>Correct it.</p> <p>I mentioned it in the introduction portion.</p> <p>Only 3 literatures are available.</p> <p>No studies are exists</p> <p>No relationship between naadi and Manikadai nool. It is a one of the diagnostic tool to identify the disease.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>References are not enough. More than 50 references are important to maintain the standard of the research paper. If possible. References should be increased.</p> <p>Future suggestions and recommendation should be included.</p>	<p>Relevant literatures and articles are limited.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	