



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_50824
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of self-medication among Pharmacy Students in North Cyprus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are discrepancies in the results mentioned in summary and results sections 2. The sample size is small and includes only 5th year pharmacy students, needs strong justification 3. It is not clear in methodology how was the questionnaire designed was it used as it is from previous studies or modified 4. Validity and reliability of the questionnaire is not mentioned, how was it tested? 5. How was the gender difference regarding self medication tested statistically, it is not mentioned in methodology. 	<p>1. Thank you for your comments, the results has been fixed.</p> <p>2. Thanks for your attention, the study had some limitations and we faced some complications during it. First, we covered only fifth year pharmacy students due to shortage of time for the research work. So, if we had conducted the study among more years we would have got a more extensive scenario on the self-medication practice.</p> <p>Second, we couldn't reach the hall number of students because the semester was almost finished and the lectures had been finished, so collecting data from them was slightly difficult.</p> <p>3,4 The questionnaire was adopted from a formerly published study which was developed, standardized, and previously used. Thank you a lot!</p> <p>5. The Chi-square test was performed to measure the association between the gender and responses of using self-medication.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Few of the references are missing in text citation, reference 20 and 21 2. Few grammatical corrections need to be done 	<p>Thanks for your comments, the references has been fixed, also all the grammatical corrections has been done. Thank you!</p>
Optional/General comments	Nil	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	The study was approved by the Near East Institutional Reviews Board (IRB) of Near East University Hospital that assigned this research as an observational study. With the a verbal consent before distributing the questionnaire. It's mentioned in the manuscript. Thank you!