



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_50978
Title of the Manuscript:	AXIAL AND RADIAL VARIATION OF FIBRE CHARACTERISTICS OF Bambusa vulgaris
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The fiber dimensions of plants usually are measured to predict their papermaking properties. From this point of view, the arithmetical mean calculation for fiber length is not very suitable. The length weighted or weight weighted calculation will give more accurate information. Another point is that the volumes of woody mass at different sections (%25, %50 ad %75) are different, author can put this into consideration to calculate the more accurate average values for whole plant. From obtained data will usefull to calculate and present information about fiber morphology (Runkel ratio, Mülhstep ration, etc.).	Your comment is very good and it is an eye opener pointing to another way this study could have been carried out. However, we did not look into the weight of each culm collected at every section as highlighted in this report. Since our research focus was to determine what part of the culm will be suitable in terms of all parameters assessed. We also believed in the optimum utilization of fibre from all section of the culm collected, hence, we tried to look into variations in all the sections examined.
Minor REVISION comments	Put space between number and units	
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	