



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_50706
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidence of Microorganisms on Environmental Surfaces in Some Secondary Schools in Birnin Kebbi, Kebbi State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper is not original article, but case study. Introduction is too long, please be shorten because this paper is not review article. Discussion is missing. Conclusion is missing.</p> <p>Individual</p> <p>Eosin methylene blue is not media. Correct to eosin methylene blue agar.</p> <p>cfu/ml is CFU/ml</p> <p>Delete Being mostly to (.2002)</p> <p>First Staphylococcus aureus and next S. aureus both in italic.</p> <p>Autoclave at 160oC for 1h is sure? Dry heating? 4 lines later it said autoclaving at 121oC for 15 min. The latter is more appropriate condition for autoclaving.</p> <p>Methods are too long and mostly cited elsewhere, so condensed and cited.</p> <p>3,1 cannot be read all.</p> <p>3.2 is missing.</p> <p>3.4 cannot be read all.</p>	I have readjusted as pointed out
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	