



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_50663
Title of the Manuscript:	Public Participatory on Urban Flood Risk Management in Ho Chi Minh City - Vietnam: From Awareness to Action
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Spelling of Study in Abstract. The references should be updated. Examples- Line 23 S. Pathak and M. M. Ahmad, "Flood recovery capacities of the manufacturing SMEs from floods: A case study in Pathumthani province, Thailand," International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, vol. 18, pp. 197-205, 2016 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Thank you very much for your valuable comments, We had revised it accordingly. Thank you for your proposed, we had studied the paper and added the ref into the article.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Revise English language throughout the article. The methodology could be explained as mixed or qualitative or quantitative along with details of separate section on sampling techniques. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> We had revised the paper in English language We had explained in the methodology in section 2 and section 3.2 <p>Thanks again for all of your valuable comments and supports</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	