

3  
4 **PROFITABILITY ANALYSIS OF BRRI DHAN 29 IN**  
5 **SOME SELECTED AREAS OF BANGLADESH**  
6

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13 **ABSTRACT**  
14

This study was conducted to analysis profitability of HYV Boro during the 2016 Boro season. BR-29 variety was selected for analysis as this variety is dominated among all Boro varieties in the study area. A total of 75 farmers were randomly selected from seven villages of Islampur Upazilla under Jamalpur district who produced BR-29 boro variety. Primary data were collected from the selected farmers. Cost and return analysis as well as functional analysis were performed in this study. Cobb-Douglas production function was also applied to determine the effects of individual inputs on production of BR-29. Human labor, land cultivation, seed, fertilizer, manure, irrigation and pesticides were considered as seven variables. It was observed that most of the included variables had significant impact on BR-29 Boro production. This study also identified some problems faced by the farmers in producing BR-29 Boro rice. These were low price of output, scarcity and high wage rate of human labour, high irrigation cost, Lack of credit facilities etc. Therefore, more research and extension suggested solving the farmer's problems in order to increase production of Boro rice and to ensure food security in Bangladesh.

15  
16 *Keywords: BR-29, Cobb-Douglas production function, Profitability, Food security*  
17

18  
19 **1. INTRODUCTION**  
20

21 Bangladesh is a developing country with an estimated 2019 population of nearly 168.07 million in an area  
22 of 147,570 square kilometers. The density of population is the highest (1,115.62/square kilometer) in the  
23 world (World Population Review, 2019). Bangladesh is mainly an agricultural country and its economy is  
24 substantially agro based. Agriculture is the well-recognized driving force of the economy of Bangladesh.  
25 The importance of agriculture, specially the crop sector, to the economy of Bangladesh needs no  
26 emphasizing. There are three types of rice namely Aus, Aman and Boro covering 1.15, 5.51 and 4.68  
27 million hectares of land respectively (Mia, 2009). Rice is the staple food of 155.8 million people in  
28 Bangladesh (BER, 2015); rice supplies 69.8% of the total caloric intake and more than 58% of the protein  
29 intake (FAO, 2015). Rice production is the largest contributor to farm income, while related trade and  
30 commerce are important sources of rural non-farm income (Ahmed, 2001). Bangladesh is the fourth  
31 largest rice producer in the world (FAO, 2010).

32 In the past, the country was largely dependent on importation of food grains with its deficit production.  
33 This was due to pressure of increasing population. But in recent years a remarkable change in rice  
34 production has already been observed in Bangladesh after introducing of HYV varieties of rice which has  
35 made remarkable progress in achieving its food security. Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI) has  
36 developed and released 46 Modern Varieties (MVS) having potential to produce 2.0 or more times yield

37 than those of traditional varieties. Among the varieties Boro HYV BRRI dhan 29, released in 1994 has  
38 high performance in respect of yield, quality, insect and disease resistance and this Boro rice grown  
39 during November to February where seedling are raised in a seedbed and transplanted in the main field  
40 (Islam, 2007). The variety is Moderately resistance to leaf blight, sheath blight and has an average yield  
41 of 7.5 ton/ha (BRRI, 2019).

42 Boro is the mainly rice crop in Bangladesh. Structural change in input and output prices of rice in  
43 Bangladesh and more dependence on Boro season has made rice production a function of input supply  
44 and prices of both inputs and outputs rather than vagaries of nature. As Boro is the main rice crop in  
45 Bangladesh, stability of farm income is largely dependent on profitability from Boro production. Therefore,  
46 main focus of this study is to analysis profitability of Boro production in 2016 Boro season.

47 The present study was undertaken to analysis profitability of BR-29 in 2016 Boro season, to identify major  
48 problems faced by BR-29 producing farmer and to suggest some recommendations for policy makers.  
49 The paper is organized as follows: data and data collection procedure, analytical technique are discussed  
50 in section II, the results are analyzed in section III and the concluding remarks are set down in section IV.  
51

## 52 **2. METHODOLOGY**

53  
54 Researches follow a set of tools and techniques in order to fulfill the aims and objectives of the study.  
55 Researchers further try to find unbiased results of their studies within limited time, money and personnel.  
56 Farm management research by its very nature essentially involves primary data collected from the  
57 farmers. The type of primary data to be collected depends upon the nature of the study and its objectives.  
58 The present study was based on a field survey where primary data were collected from BR-29 growers.  
59 Methodology mainly covers selection of the study area, selection of the samples, preparation of the  
60 survey questionnaire, and collection of the data, tabulation, analysis and interpretation of the data.  
61

### 62 **2.1 Sampling Technique**

63  
64 It was impossible to interview all the BR-29 growers in the study area due to limitations of time and  
65 resources. Considering time, availability of fund and manpower, a limited number of farmers were  
66 selected randomly. For sampling, at first a list of BR-29 growers in a village was prepared. From a list of  
67 farmers of a village, 10-12 farmers were randomly selected. Thus, 75 farmers were selected from the  
68 seven villages of Islampur Upazilla of Jamalpur district. Profitability of any enterprise varies due to  
69 managerial capacities of different farmers. To control the management factor, farmers who produced BR-  
70 29 in 2016 Boro season were chosen for this study.  
71

### 72 **2.2 Statistical Technique**

73  
74 In the present study the statistical techniques were also used to supplement the tabular technique. Some  
75 statistical measures like frequency, arithmetic mean percentages and ratios were calculated as these  
76 were simple to understand and easy to calculate. Interpretation and discussion of the findings were  
77 presented in simple terms. Further, Cobb-Douglas production function model was used to identify the  
78 effect of key factors on production of BR-29.  
79

80 The analysis was done for the production of BR-29 in 2016 Boro season. The specification of the Cobb-  
 81 Douglas production function is as follows;

$$82$$

$$83 Y = a X_1^{b_1} X_2^{b_2} X_3^{b_3} X_4^{b_4} X_5^{b_5} X_6^{b_6} X_7^{b_7} e^{u_i}$$

84  
 85 In the linear form it can be written as follows:

$$86$$

$$87 \ln Y = \ln a + b_1 \ln X_1 + b_2 \ln X_2 + b_3 \ln X_3 + b_4 \ln X_4 + b_5 \ln X_5 + b_6 \ln X_6 + b_7 \ln X_7 + \dots + U_i$$

88  
 89 Where,

90  
 91 Y= Gross return of BR-29 Boro rice production (Tk/acre)

92 a= Constant or intercept

93 X<sub>1</sub>= Cost of human labour (Tk/acre)

94 X<sub>2</sub>= Cost of land cultivation (Tk/acre)

95 X<sub>3</sub>= Cost of seed (Tk/acre)

96 X<sub>4</sub>= Cost of fertilizer (Tk/acre)

97 X<sub>5</sub>= Cost of manure (Tk/acre)

98 X<sub>6</sub>= Cost of irrigation (Tk/acre)

99 X<sub>7</sub>= Cost of pesticide (Tk/acre)

100 ln= Natural logarithm

101 b<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>2</sub> ...b<sub>7</sub> are co-efficient of respective variables

102

### 103 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

104

#### 105 3.1 Profitability Analysis

106

107 This section mainly deals with the pricing procedures for items of costs and returns of BR-29 Boro  
 108 production. In calculating profit or loss of an enterprise or relative profitability of different crops costing of  
 109 inputs and valuation of output is essential. Farmers in the study area used both purchased and home  
 110 supplied inputs for the production of BR-29 Boro rice, which were valued at the prevailing market rate  
 111 during survey period or at the price paid by the farmers. The output was also valued at the prevailing  
 112 market price. Purchased input such as seed, fertilizer, irrigation, pesticides, hired labour etc. involved  
 113 direct expenses and therefore, pricing of these inputs was easy. Since no cash payment was made for  
 114 the home supplied inputs, the costs of these inputs were estimated by using the opportunity cost  
 115 principle. For analytical advantage, the cost items were classified under the following heads human  
 116 labour, animal labour, power tiller, seed, fertilizer, manure, pesticides, irrigation, land use cost and  
 117 interest on operating capital.

118

119 **Table 1.** Per acre cost and returns of BR-29 in the study area

120

Particulars	Unit	Results
Gross Cost (GC)	Tk.	45875.00
Main product (paddy)	kg.	3000.00
Per unit price of paddy	Tk./kg	16.00
Value of product	Tk.	48000.00
Value of by- product	Tk.	8750.00
Gross Return (GR)	Tk.	56750.00
Net Return (NR)	Tk.	10875.00
Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)	-	1.23

121 *Source: Field Survey, 2016*

122

123 Table 1 shows that average yield of BR-29 in Jamalpur district was 3 ton/acre. The gross returns  
 124 (including by product) from BR-29 were estimated Tk. 56750.00. The average net returns per acre was  
 125 found to be Tk. 10875.00 for BR-29.

126 On the basis of gross costs per hectare production cost of BR-29 was estimated at Tk. 45875.00. Results  
127 in Table 1 shows that BCR of BR-29 rice production was emerged as 1.23 that Tk 1.23 would be earned  
128 by spending each Tk 1.00 investing in the rice production. From the above, it was clear that BR-29 rice  
129 production is profitable in the study area.

130

### 131 **Factors affecting gross return of selected rice production**

132

133 To determine the effects of the explanatory variables, linear and Cobb-Douglas model were initially  
134 estimated for BR-29 rice production. Some of the key variables are explained below.

135

136 Human labor cost ( $X_1$ ): The coefficient for human labor cost was 0.410 which was positive and significant  
137 at five percent level of significance. The coefficient indicates that keeping other factors constant, 1  
138 percent increase in human labor cost would increase the gross return by 0.337 percent (Table 2).

139

140 Land cultivation cost ( $X_2$ ): The regression coefficient for land cultivation cost was positive at five percent  
141 level of significance (Table 2). It revealed that 1 percent increase in the land cultivation cost, holding other  
142 factors constant would increase gross return by 0.333 percent.

143

144 Seed cost ( $X_3$ ): The regression coefficient of seed cost was positive for BR-29 rice and significant at five  
145 percent levels. It indicated that 1 percent increase in seed cost, keeping other factors constant would  
146 increase gross returns by 0.554 (Table 2).

147

148 Fertilizer cost ( $X_4$ ): For BR-29, the coefficient was positive and significant at one percent levels of  
149 significance which indicated that 1 percent increase in the cost of fertilizer, keeping other factors constant,  
150 would increase gross return by 0.061 percent (Table 2).

151

152 Manure cost ( $X_5$ ): Regression coefficient of manure cost was found to be positive and statistically  
153 insignificant. It indicated that 1 percent increase in manure cost, keeping other factors constant would  
154 increase gross returns by 0.010 percent (Table 2).

155

156 Irrigation cost ( $X_6$ ): Regression coefficient of irrigation cost was found to be negative and statistically  
157 insignificant. It indicated that 1 percent increase in irrigation cost, keeping other factors constant would  
158 decrease gross returns by 0.345 percent (Table 2).

159

160 Pesticides cost ( $X_7$ ): For BR-29 rice, the coefficient was negative and statistically significant at 1% level. It  
161 implies that 1 percent increase in the cost of pesticide, holding other factors constant, would decrease  
162 gross return by 0.047 percent for BR-29 rice (Table 2).

163

164 The coefficients of multiple determinations,  $R^2$  value of the model was 0.976 indicating that about 97.6  
165 percent of variations in gross return have been explained by the explanatory variables, which were  
166 included in the model. The value of adjusted  $R^2$  was 0.974 indicating that after taking into account the  
167 degrees of freedom (df) adjusted  $R^2$ , explanatory variables in the model still explain about 97.4 percent of  
168 the total variations in gross returns from BR-29 (Table 2).

169

170 The F-values of the equation derived for BR-29 was 393.67 implying that all the explanatory variables  
171 were important for explaining the variations in gross returns of BR-29 in the study area (Table 2).

172

173 The summation of all the regression coefficients of the estimated production functions of BR-29 and  
174 Hybrid Hira was 0.98 found to be less than 1 which indicated that the selected rice growers allocated their  
175 resources in the rational stage of production (Stage II) respectively, where diminishing returns to scale  
176 exists.

177

178

179

180

181

182 **Table 2.** Estimated values of co-efficient and related statistics of Cobb-Douglas production function model  
 183 for BR-29 Boro production  
 184

Explanatory variables	Results
Constant	3.499
Cost of Human labour ( $X_1$ )	0.410 <sup>**</sup> (0.201)
Cost of Land cultivation ( $X_2$ )	0.333 <sup>**</sup> (0.162)
Cost of Seed ( $X_3$ )	0.554 <sup>**</sup> (0.226)
Cost of Fertilizer ( $X_4$ )	0.061 <sup>*</sup> (0.031)
Cost of Manure ( $X_5$ )	0.010 (0.009)
Cost of Irrigation ( $X_6$ )	-0.347 (0.275)
Cost of Pesticides ( $X_7$ )	-0.047 <sup>*</sup> (0.027)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.976
R <sup>2</sup> (Adjusted)	0.974
F- value	393.67
Return to scale	0.98

185 *Note: \* Significant at 1% level, \*\* Significant at 5% level, \*\*\* Significant at 10 % level*  
 186 *Figures in the parentheses indicate standard error*  
 187

### 188 3.2 Problems and constraints of BR-29 Boro production

189  
 190 Farmers faced a lot of problems and constrains in producing BR-29 Boro rice. In the present study, an  
 191 effort has been made to identify and analyze the major problems and constraints faced by the farmers in  
 192 producing BR-29 Boro rice in the study area. Some major problems and constraints which the farmers  
 193 emphasized upon are discussed below:  
 194

195 **Table 2. Problems faced by BR-29 growers**  
 196

Problems and Constraints	Number of farmers	% of total farmers
i. Low output price	70	93
ii. Scarcity of human labour	60	80
iii. High irrigation cost	52	69
iv. Load shading of electricity	40	53
v. Scarcity of animal labour	44	58
vi. Lack of capital	45	60
vii. Lack of manure	45	40

197 *Source: Field Survey, 2016*  
 198

## 199 4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

200  
 201 Bangladesh agriculture is dominated by production of rice. In this scenario rice dominates the crop sector  
 202 by occupying nearly 75 percent of the total cropped area as well as contributing about 70 percent of the  
 203 value of the crop output. Farmers were facing some problems which need attention from government. On  
 204 the basis of the findings of the study the following recommendations are made for the improvement of  
 205 existing BR-29 Boro rice production.  
 206

- 207 i. The policy makers should think to introduce agricultural mechanization in the study area.
- 208 ii. During the harvest time farmers get very low prices for their product so proper price should be ensured  
 209 in harvesting time.
- 210 iii. There is a price support programme for paddy in this country. It must be implemented effectively during  
 211 the harvesting period of paddy.
- 212 iv. Credit facility should be provided to the farmers for applying recommended doses of seed, fertilizer,  
 213 irrigation etc. So that yield of BR-29 Boro rice can be increased.

214 v. Extension services and its linkage with farmers should be improved to make available knowledge to the  
215 farmers.  
216

217  
218

## 219 **COMPETING INTEREST**

220

221 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.  
222

223

## 223 **REFERENCES**

224

225 1. Mia, MS. Impact of Differential Input and Output Prices on Comparative Profitability of HYV Boro  
226 During 2007 To 2009: A Study in a Selected Area of Jamalpur. MS Thesis, submitted to the  
227 Department of Agricultural Economics, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. 2009.

228 2. BER. Bangladesh Economic Review. Ministry of Finance, Government of the People's Republic of  
229 Bangladesh. Dhaka, Bangladesh. 2015.

230 3. Bangladesh Population. (2018-09-25).

231 Retrieved 2019-02-26, from <http://worldpopulationreview.com/countries/bangladesh/>

232 4. Ahmed, R. Retrospect and prospects of the rice economy of Bangladesh. The University Press  
233 Limited, Dhaka. 2001.

234 5. FAO. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Food Outlook: Global Market Analysis,  
235 Global Information and Early Warning System, An internet version. 2010.

236 6. FAOSTAT. 2013 Food Balance Sheets for 42 Selected Countries (and Updated Regional  
237 Aggregates). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Statistics Division (FAOSTAT).  
238 Rome, Italy. 2015.

239 7. Islam, MZ. Adoption of BrrI Dhan 29 Production Technologies by the Farmers. MS Thesis, submitted  
240 to the Department of Agricultural Extension and Information System, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural  
241 University, Dhaka. 2007.

242 8. BRRI. Rice Production Training Module, Session 02, Module 02, Fact sheet 09, Bangladesh Rice  
243 Research Institute, Gazipur. 2019. Retrieved 2019-08-03, from

244 [http://brrri.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/brrri.portal.gov.bd/page/de67726d\\_689e\\_4b72\\_b8c4\\_89c  
245 90635842f/Factsheet9-BRRI%20dhan29\\_.pdf](http://brrri.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/brrri.portal.gov.bd/page/de67726d_689e_4b72_b8c4_89c90635842f/Factsheet9-BRRI%20dhan29_.pdf)