1 2	Original Research Article
3 4	Explanatory factors for adherence to self-care therapy among type II diabetics in Bandundu-Ville, Democratic Republic of the Congo
5	

## 6 Abstract

7 Diabetes is a real public health problem and a global economic burden that affects every country. 8 Although its management is codified, most people with diabetes are unable to keep follow-up 9 appointments. Thus, compliance with self-care, a concordance between therapeutic prescription and 10 patient compliance, is therefore not limited to strict drug treatment. The purpose of this study was to 11 identify the factors that explain adherence to self-care in patients with type II diabetes in order to reduce the incidence of complications related to this century's pandemic. The survey was carried out at the 12 13 General Reference Hospital (HGR) of Bandundu-Ville in the Urban-Rural Health Zone of Bandundu-Ville in the province of Kwilu in DRC. This cross-sectional study of the nonprobability predictive correlational 14 15 type for convenience and, conducted from March to April 2018, involved Type II diabetics. The sample 16 size was determined on the basis of the Fischer formula. Thus, 138 subjects were selected. The semi-17 structured interview was used with closed and/or open-ended questions designed on a Likert-type scale 18 with seven choices of answers in the design of the items.

The age varies between 46 and 76 years with an average age of 50 ±13.7 years. In addition, 98 participants (71.0% were married, 68 subjects (49.3%) had a secondary education, 85 subjects (61.6%) were in the private sector. Univariate analyses revealed that 52% of the subjects are in the age range 46 to 76 years with an average age of 50 ±13.7 years and 71.0% are married. The secondary level of education represents 49.3% but 10.9% have not studied. In addition, the majority of them have their private professional activities, i.e. 61.6%. PO in self-care (diabetic patients) influences the perception of threats caused by the onset of the disease (p>0.000,  $\chi 2 = 20.1$ ,  $\alpha = 0.05$  at df=1).

In conclusion, the main determinants of PO to self-care by diabetics in order to meet the time required by
 caregivers to take diabetes mellitus medications in order of importance are: perception of threats caused
 by the onset of the disease (0.383; p0.05) and expected outcomes (0.198; p0.05). On the other hand, the
 sense of personal effectiveness (-0.103; p0.05) is not significantly related to ORP in self-care.

Keywords: Self-care, type II diabetics, Urban-Rural Health Zone of Bandundu Ville.

## 33 **1. INTRODUCTION**

34 Diabetes has been considered a major public health problem worldwide for several decades because, according to the International Diabetes Federation, in 2003, 194 million people worldwide had diabetes. 35 36 Thus, by 2025, 26333 million, or 6.3% of the world's population, will have been reached if no preventive 37 care is provided [1]. Faced with these estimates, the WHO explains that in 2025, there will be more than 38 300 million people with diabetes in the world compared to 125 million currently, 75% of whom will live in 39 the developing country, which suggests the importance of this public health problem and the economic 40 weight it will represent for these countries in the years to come. [2]. Indeed, it is considered to be one of 41 the most common chronic diseases in almost all patients, so it is a definitive pathological condition 42 requiring lifelong treatment.

43

Like other African countries, the DRC has more than one million people with diabetes, of whom 5 to 10% are children and adolescents, according to estimates from the national diabetes programme [3]. Diabetes

- mellitus is the most common cause of polyneuropathies. About 50% of individuals suffer from neuropathy
  within 25 years of diagnosis. Mathematically, in addition to its responsibility for 45% of all non-traumatic
- 47 amputations, diabetes is the leading cause of blindness in adults. Thus, elderly people with diabetes are

49 twice as likely to suffer from high blood pressure, cardiovascular disease and stroke as those without 50 diabetes [4].

51

Self-care compliance is the concordance between the therapeutic prescription and the patient's 52 53 compliance with it. It is therefore not limited to strict drug treatment. In diabetes, however, it can be 54 applied to many non-pharmacological aspects, including compliance with dietary hygiene measures, self-55 monitoring of blood sugar levels, foot care and regular physical activity. The same complete source as 35 56 to 80% of diabetics do not follow their self-care correctly. [5]. This study is in the field of public health 57 versus behaviour change communication, aimed at preventing the consequences of Type II diabetes. In 58 addition, to increase the likelihood of better blood glucose control, many self-care treatments must be 59 performed. Failure to perform the latter leads to more or less long-term, irreversible or even fatal chronic 60 complications.

61

62 Despite knowledge of these facts, the long-term compliance rate for diabetic self-care remains very low in 63 healthcare settings in general in the city of Bandundu in particular. In diabetes, compliance is likely to 64 apply to many non-pharmacological aspects, including compliance with dietary hygiene measures, self-65 monitoring of blood glucose levels, foot care, and regular physical activity. However, 35 to 80% of 66 diabetics do not follow their self-care correctly. People with this chronic condition are hospitalized twice as 67 often as people without diabetes. As a result, they have longer hospital stays and more visits to 68 ambulatory care services. The cost of health care per patient with diabetes is three times higher than that 69 of a non-diabetic patient [6]. 70

71 In addition to these financial aspects, there is physical and emotional suffering and several limitations 72 affecting patients' personal, family and social lives. However, diabetic complications can force individuals to give up their work, a source of remuneration and individual self-esteem. Therefore, patient compliance 73 74 with the care plan is one of the essential elements of good diabetes management. In addition, non-75 compliance is an extremely frequent phenomenon, as it generally affects more than one in two patients, 76 potentially affecting all aspects of treatment [7]. The treatment of diabetes is most often complex and 77 involves hygiene-dietary modifications to optimize nutritional intake and increase physical activity, as well 78 as the initiation of pharmacological treatments (oral antidiabetic agents and insulin) to compensate for 79 insulin deficiency and combat insulin resistance. Statistically, optimal follow-up of treatment recommendations is associated with improved glycemic control (decreased glycated hemoglobin; HBA1c) 80 81 but also reduces chronic complications of the disease and reduces its cost [8].

82

83 Despite the potential benefits of pharmacological treatment, compliance remains low and poor 84 compliance is reported to occur in 36 to 85% of diabetic patients, with the lowest results observed in 85 patients receiving oral treatment. Notwithstanding, the most common factors affecting adherence to 86 treatment are complexity of treatment, frequency of drug administration, cost, staff training in treatment, 87 depression and adverse events or fear of them. [8]. The various elements presented so far demonstrate 88 that diabetes has a huge impact at various levels of life. Thus, to minimize these sequelae as much as 89 possible, it becomes relevant to reduce acute and chronic complications. For this reason, it is important 90 for health professionals, mainly nurses, to explain to individuals with diabetes the close link between 91 complications and blood glucose abnormality in order to promote greater management. 92

## 93 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

94

95 The survey was conducted at the Bandundu-Ville General Reference Hospital (HGR) of the Bandundu-96 Ville Urban-Rural Health Zone in Bandundu-Ville in Kwilu province in the DRC, offering preventive, 97 curative and promotional services. This study is a cross-sectional study of the predictive correlational 98 type.

99 This non-probability study, conducted from March to April 2018, was conducted for convenience and 100 concerned Type II diabetics who had given their consent after informed consent and who spoke correctly 101 in Kikongo, the local language. The sample size was determined on the basis of the Fischer formula. [9]

102

103 Thus, 138 subjects were selected. The semi-structured interview was used with closed and/or open-104 ended questions designed on a Likert-type scale with seven choices of answers in the design of the 105 items.

106

The structured interview used to collect information from subjects. Data collection was conducted using an interview guide containing two validated scales in French for measuring self-efficacy and health beliefs. A compliance assessment questionnaire and an elaborate questionnaire to collect sociodemographic and health data were used. All these instruments were borrowed from Plante (1998) and adapted for this study. The pre-survey initiated near our field of investigation took place from February 05 to 14, 2018, i. e. ten days, with 25 diabetic subjects at the MUSABA Health Centre. It was carried out during the period.

114

Descriptive analyses (frequency, mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum values, and finally percentage) were used to describe the sample profile. The correlational analysis using the Chi-square association test (X2), the confidence interval of the Odds-ratio was performed between the different variables. Finally, simple linear regression was used to identify the explanatory factors that predict adherence to self-care therapy in diabetic subjects. Data analysis was performed using the SPSS software version 22.0. Probability values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

## 121122 3. RESULTS

# 123 3.1 Socio-demographic characteristics124

125 The sociodemographic characteristics of the participants is presented in table 1 below.

- 126127 Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics
- 128

Characteristics	Frequency	%				
Age (years)						
19-45	65	47,1				
46-76	73	52,9				
Total	138	100				
Marital status						
Married	98	71.0				
Single	29	21.0				
Divorced	7	5.1				
Widows	4	2.9				
Total	138	100				
Education level						
Illiterate	15	10.9				
Primary	34	24.6				
Secondary	68	49.3				
University	21	15.2				
Total	138	100				
Professional activity						
Public	53	38.4				
Private	85	61.6				
Total	138	100				

129

The age varies between 46 and 76 years with an average age of  $50 \pm 13.7$  years. In addition 98 subjects or 71.0% were married, 68 subjects or 49.3% had a secondary level of education, 85 subjects or 61.6% were in the private sector.

133

# 134 3.2 Bivariate analysis between study variables135

136 The relationship between the self-care compliance and the perception of threats caused by the onset of 137 the disease is presented in the table below.

138

139 Table 2: Relationship between the probability of self-care compliance (PO) and the perception of threats

140 caused by the onset of the disease

141

Perception of threats caused	Self-ca	Self-care PO		IC <sub>95</sub>	% (OR)	
by the onset of the disease	High PO n (%)	Low PO n (%)		Lim<	Lim>	
Positive perception	40 (70.2)	25 (30.9)	5.271	2.520	11.022	
Negative perception	17 (29.8)	56 (69.1)				
Total	57 (100)	81 (100)				

142

PO in self-care (diabetic patients) influences the perception of threats caused by the onset of the disease (p>0.000,  $\chi 2 = 20.1$ ,  $\alpha = 0.05$  at df=1).

145

146 Table 3 displays the relationship between PO for self-care and self-effectiveness

147

#### 148 Table 3: Relationship between PO for self-care and self-effectiveness

Sense of Personal effectiveness	Probability of self-care compliance		OR	IC <sub>95%</sub>	, (OR)
	High PO	Low PO			
	n (%)	n (%)		Lim<	Lim>
High effectiveness	37 (64.9)	48 (59.3)	1.272	0.631	2.566
Low effectiveness	20(35.1)	33 (40.7)			
Total	57 (100)	81 (100)			

149 The PO in self-care is not a function of self-efficacy (p = 0.113,  $\chi 2 = 0.45$ ,  $\alpha$ , 005). X2=0.45 at df=1, p=0.113.

150

151 The relationship between PO to self-care and expected findings is displayed in the following table.

152

### 153 Table 4: Relationship between PO to self-care and expected outcomes

Résultats	PO to self-care		OR	IC <sub>95%</sub>	(OR)
attendus	High PO n (%)	Low PO n (%)		Lim <	Lim>
A positive outcome	35 (61.4)	35 (43.2)	2.091	1.048	4.173
A negative outcome	22 (38.6)	46 (56.8)			
Total	57 (100)	81(100)			

154

155 Treatment for type II diabetes positively influences PO to self-care (DS because p>0.015,  $\chi$ 2 =4.4,  $\alpha$  = 0.05) at df=1.

157

158

159 Table 5. Relationship between PO to self-care and socio-demographic characteristics

160

	PO to self-care		v2	v <sup>2</sup> df		Decision
	High PO	Low PO	X-	u	ρ	Decision
Age (years)	n (%)	n (%)				
19-45	24 (42,1)	41 (50,6)	4,4	1	0,085	DS

46-76	33 (57,9)	40 (49,4)					
Total	57 (100)	81 (100)					
Marital status							
Married	41 (71,9)	57 (70,4)	5,5	3	0,391	DN	
Single	11 (19,3)	18 (22,2)					
Divorced	5 (8,8)	2 (2,5)					
Widow	0 (0,0)	4 (4,9)					
Total	57 (100)	81 (100)					
Level of educa	Level of education						
Illiterate	5 (8,8)	10 (12,3)	13,9	4	0,043	DS	
Primary	23 (40,4)	11 (16,6)					
Secondary	22 (38,6)	46 (56,8)					
Universitary	7(12,3)	12(14,8)					
Total	57 (100)	81(100)					
Professional activity							
Public	25 (43.9)	32 (39.5)	9,6	3	0,000	DS	
Private	32 (56.1)	49 (60.5)					
Total	57 (100)	81(100)					

161

PO to self-care is positively associated with the age of diabetic patients (OR=0.710 with p=0.024, X2=4.4,  $\alpha$ , 005) and IC95% (OR)= [0.358 ; 1.404]. But it is not dependent on civil status (p<0.391, X2=5.5,  $\alpha$ , 005). The probability of self-care compliance is significantly associated with patients' education levels (p>0.043, X2 = 13.9,  $\alpha$ , 005). In order, the probability of self-care compliance is significantly related to the professional activity of diabetic patients (p>0.000, X2=9.6,  $\alpha$ , 005).

167

## 3.3 Regression model to establish a relationship between variables

170 The regression analysis for predicted variables from PO to self-care is presented in the table below.

171

172 Table 6: Results of regression analysis for predicted variables from ORP to self-care

Variables	β	t	ρ		
Variables of the conceptual model		5,0			
Perception of threats caused by the disease onset	,383	4,906	,000		
Sense of personal effectiveness	-,103	-,151	,250		
Expected outcomes	,198	2,310	,022		
R <sup>2, 144</sup> ; F <sup>=</sup> (dll : 1) 5,0; *p<0,05					

173

After regression analysis, the predicted variables explain 5.0% of PO to diabetic self-care in patients with diabetes with (R2=0.144) followed by ANNOVA of (F= (df: 1)= 5.0; \*p0.05). The results specify that the

main determinants of PO to self-care by diabetics in order to meet the time required by caregivers to take diabetes mellitus medications are in order of importance the perception of threats caused by the onset of the disease (0.383; 0.05), expected outcomes (0.198; 0.05) and on the other hand the sense of personal effectiveness (-0.103; 0.05) is not significantly related to PO to self-care. Thus, the hypothesis that the perception of threats caused by the onset of the disease, the expected results and the sense of personal effectiveness are only partially validated.

182

## 183 4. Discussion

184

Perceptions of the threats caused by the onset of the disease, and the expected outcomes of treatment made it possible to predict compliance with diabetic self-care with patients. The study identified some socio-demographic variables significantly associated with adherence to self-care therapy in patients with type II diabetes on which interventions could be developed.

189

## **4.1 Psycho-sociodemographic characteristics of subjects**

191

192 The age of the subjects ranged from 46 to 76 years with an average age of  $50\pm13.7$  years. These results 193 are consistent with the literature on type II diabetes, and this is due to the continuous progression of diabetes in the DRC, and is therefore the result of several risk factors, including increased physical inactivity, obesity, longer life for some people and poor eating habits for others[10]. These results differ from the 68.6% of respondents who were between 20 and 34 years old (in the health zones of Ecuador and Katanga). [11]

197 and Katanga). 198

199 More than two thirds of them were married, or 71.0% of the subjects. Most of our subjects had a high 200 school education level of 49.3%, but it should be noted that 10.9% did not study at all. Similarly, in Mali, a 201 study found that the vast majority of its study population had never attended school, including 73.3% of 202 daughters-in-law, 86.2% of mothers-in-law and 65.8% of spouses. 12] In Benin, illiteracy among pregnant women was observed in 67% of pregnant women interviewed during the study. The majority of them have 203 204 their private professional activities, i.e. 61.6%. 13] In addition, a study on the use of sulfadoxine 205 pyrimethamine among the pregnant women surveyed, the majority of them have their activities at home, 206 i.e. 78.7% compared to 21.3% who have them out of home. 14] A study found that 40% of the subjects 207 were employed and also 40% of the subjects had an independent occupation. [15]

208

### **4.2 Explanatory factors for adherence to self-care in type II diabetes**

210 211 The results indicate that the probability of self-care compliance (diabetic patients) influences the 212 perception of threats caused by the onset of the disease (p>0.000,  $\chi^2 = 20.1$ ,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Our results are in 213 line with Plante's belief that improving and prolonging life through better diabetes control is possible 214 through the implementation of recommendations and prescribed treatment. These recommendations 215 should be tailored to the needs of each person with diabetes, including: a healthy diet on a regular schedule, a minimum of exercise three to four times a week for at least 20 minutes at each session, a 216 217 healthy body weight, hair glucose monitoring, regular medication, periodic skin and feet. The same source adds that, the success of blood glucose control depends largely on the compliance of people with 218 diabetes to achieve the points mentioned above. However, these activities that they should start and 219 carry out on their own are called self-care. It is clear that the desired blood glucose control cannot be 220 221 achieved without the active, permanent and daily participation of the affected individual. On the other 222 hand, self-monitoring of blood glucose may be useful for most people with diabetes. Its potential benefits, 223 such as reducing glycated hemoglobin, preventing and recognizing hypoglycemia and a more flexible 224 lifestyle, are greater when the person is taught to adapt his or her food choices, physical activities and drug treatment based on the results obtained. [16] 225

226

227 In addition, the above results show that the probability of self-care compliance is not a function of self-228 efficacy (p = 0.113,  $\chi 2$  = 0.45,  $\alpha$ , 005). Our results contradict the same study that states that, in people with 229 type 1 diabetes, self-monitoring of blood glucose is an essential aspect of daily diabetes management. In a large cohort study in patients who tested their blood glucose at least three times daily, there was a 230 231 statistically and clinically significant 1.0% reduction in HbA1c. The same author notes that the patient 232 often needs to have more frequent blood glucose tests in order to have the information necessary to 233 reduce the risk of hypoglycemia, modify his or her treatment and make appropriate lifestyle choices. [16] 234 Although the expected outcomes of treatment for type II diabetes positively influence PO to self-care 235 (p>0.015,  $\chi^2$  =4.4,  $\alpha$  = 0.05), one study corroborates our results which state that adherence to 236 medication, diet, regular exercise, urinary tests and foot care is thus combined with glycated hemoglobin, blood glucose, fasting triglycerides and glycosuria results. The most significant correlation of compliance 237 238 was identified with the perception of severity followed by benefits, vulnerability and barriers. The authors 239 also find a significant association with action signals that explain 6.5% of the observance variance. 240 However, the family medium analyzed separately accounts for 4.3% of the variance, this variance is 241 usually integrated into the action signals: the combination of the two results in a variance rate of 10.8%. 242 [17]

242 [17 243

Analysis of the above results provides sufficient evidence that PO to self-care is positively associated with
 the age of diabetic patients (p=0.024, X2=4.4, α, 005). Several studies support our results, including one
 indicating better overall compliance in older patients with type II diabetes. 18] Indeed, older diabetics (65
 years and older), compared to younger diabetics, consult more for their eyes and feet, eat more fruits and
 vegetables and less fat, eat more for pleasure and have fewer episodes of hyperphagia. [19]

249 The same previous authors complete that older patients rate their health status as worse than younger 250 patients and that they have a higher number of hospitalizations and complications related to diabetes. In 251 addition, it would be not only age itself that would predict compliance, but also the perceived severity of diabetes, the number of complications and associated hospitalizations. [18], [19]. But it is not dependent 252 253 on civil status (p<0.391, X2=5.5,  $\alpha$ , 005). PO to self-care is significantly associated with patients' 254 educational levels (p>0.043, X2 = 13.9,  $\alpha$ , 005). The majority of researchers agree that being more 255 educated is associated with better adherence to treatment, including medication, physical activity and 256 more frequent self-monitoring of blood glucose levels. For example, among type II diabetics with a high 257 school education, 40% report no physical activity. [19]

258

In order, the probability of self-care compliance is significantly related to the professional activity of diabetic patients (p>0.000, X2=9.6,  $\alpha$ , 005). Low income coupled with lack of health insurance coverage is related to lower compliance with oral antidiabetic medication. Di Matteo's study also shows a positive and significant relationship between NSE and medication compliance, especially in studies with adults using a numerical income measure. In addition, the situation becomes more complicated in the presence of co-morbid pathologies (costs of combination therapy, limits of coverage of insurance programs [19].

## 266 4.3 Results of regression analysis for predicted variables from PO to self-care

Finally, the results indicate the variables predicted by patients for self-care for type II diabetes, note that the variables explain 5.0% of PO for diabetic self-care in patients with type II diabetes with (R2=0.144) followed by ANNOVA of (F= (df: 1)= 5.0; \*p0.05). The results specify that the main determinants of PO to self-care by diabetic patients in order to meet the time required by caregivers to take diabetes mellitus medications are in order of importance the perception of threats caused by the onset of the disease (0.383;0.05), the expected outcomes (0.198;0.05) and the sense of personal effectiveness ( -.103m 0.05), but are not significantly related to the probability of self-care compliance.

275

276 Our results are contrary to the one found by Bandura who argues that an individual may or may not be 277 behaving in accordance with his or her belief in the latter's effectiveness in achieving the desired results. 278 This element is already represented in the health belief model by the variable "benefits". The subject's 279 personal conviction in adopting appropriate conduct to achieve the expected results is absent in this model. 21] Indeed, a person's belief in personal effectiveness is crucial because it influences all aspects 280 281 of behaviour, including the acquisition of new concepts; the more confident a subject is in his or her 282 abilities, the more initiative he or she shows, the more effort he or she makes and the more perseverance 283 he or she shows in dealing with obstacles and threatening situations. [22]

284

285 Similar results have been found by Rosestock who believes that the perception of control provides the 286 individual with the motivation to take action. The first is the individual's acceptance of his or her 287 predisposition to present the disease or its complications: perceived vulnerability. From denial, to considering the statistical possibility, to feeling really at risk of complications, the level of perceived 288 vulnerability varies among individuals. Many people with diabetes refer to the fact that they do not feel 289 290 sick or that they take the fact that they have diabetes lightly. Some of them are experiencing widespread 291 anxiety about being diagnosed with diabetes. Others evoke a strong fear of complications, for example, 292 by remembering that a family member who also has diabetes has had an amputation. [22]

293

For Nelson, the perception of the severity of the disease is strongly influenced by the emotional state and the difficulties anticipated by being ill. The individual perceives his or her illness as serious when he or she is afraid or sees the medical consequences and daily activities. Fear of death, complications or loss of autonomy are all anticipated medical consequences for people with diabetes. The implications of the disease are complex on a daily basis and influence the perceived severity. [19]

299

In addition, in light of Kanter's comments in a study that it seems rather difficult for nurses to increase their perception of control, that is, to have some control over the factors that facilitate or hinder the use of behaviour by patients. This perception of control will be possible if means are put in place by managers to facilitate their use and reduce barriers to its use. One of these ways would certainly be to involve community health workers in the process of implementing a strategic plan for home monitoring for certain 305 chronic diseases such as diabetes mellitus. [23]. Thus, the hypothesis that the perception of threats 306 caused by the onset of the disease, the expected results and the sense of personal effectiveness are only 307 partially validated.

#### 309 5. CONCLUSION

310

308

311 At the end of this study, which aimed to identify the factors that explain adherence to self-care in patients 312 with type II diabetes, univariate analyses revealed that 52% of subjects were in the age group 46 to 76 years with an average age of 50 ±13.7 years and 71.0% were married. The secondary level of education 313 314 represents 49.3% but 10.9% have not studied. In addition, the majority of them have their private 315 professional activities, i.e. 61.6%.

316

317 Finally, the main determinants of PO to self-care by diabetics in order to meet the time required by 318 caregivers to take diabetes mellitus medications in order of importance are: perception of threats caused 319 by the onset of the disease (0.383; p0.05) and expected outcomes (0.198; p0.05). On the other hand, the 320 sense of personal effectiveness (-0.103; p0.05) is not significantly related to ORP in self-care. 321

#### 322 References

- 323
- [1].Dekkar Otmane. M. (2012). L'éducation thérapeutique du patient diabétique (pratiques et messages 324 325 éducatifs. A propos de 100 cas. Thèse de doctorat, faculté de Médecine et de pharmacie. Université 326 de SIDI MOHAMMED BEN ABDELLAH.
- 327 [2].Kenngne Tenkeu et Elvire Ghislaine Laine (2009). Evaluation de l'impactde l'activité physique dans le 328 traitement du diabète de type2. Thèse de Médecine. FMPOS. Burkina-Faso.
- 329 [3].(PNLD, 2011).programme national de lutte contre le diabète
- 330 [4].(Delphine, 2008).
- 331 [5]. Scheen, A.J & Giet, D (2010). Non-observance thérapeutique : causes, conséquences, solutions. 332 Revue Médicale de liège65, 5-6,239-245.
- 333 [6]. Plante Céline (1998). Relation entre le modèle de croyance relative à la santé. Le sentiment d'efficacité personnelle. L'attribution causale de la maladie et l'observance aux autos -soins diabétiques. Mémoire 334 335 présenté à la faculté de médecine, université de SHERBROOKE, canada. P260.
- 336 [7].OMS (2003).Adherence to long terme therapies. Evidence for action. Genève.
- 337 [8]. Paquot. N (2010). Effets néfastes du défaut d'observance hygiénodiététiqueet médicamenteuse chez 338 le patient diabétique. Rev. Méd. Liège, 65, 326-331.
- 339 [9].Ancelle., T. (2006). Statisque, epidemiologie. Collection sciences fondamentales. 2<sup>e</sup>édition. Maloine.
- 340 [10]. Mbanya MJ. Ayesha A.M.; Sobngwi et coll. (2010). Diabetes in subSaharan Arica.Lancet, 375, 341 2254-66.
- 342 Mafuta.E., et Kayembe.P. (2007) Déterminants de la fréquentation tardive des services de soins [11]. 343 prénatals dans les zones de santé de l'Equateur et du Katanga en République Démocratique du 344 Congo, Annale. Afrique de médecine, Université de Kinshasa(RDCONGO).
- 345 Sidot Elodie (2011). Les facteurs associés à l'adhésion des patientsdiabétiques au projet [12]. thérapeutique. Thèse de doctorat, faculté de Médecine, université de Nancy. France, 346
- 347 P. Ndiaye, A. T. Dia, A. Diediou, E. H. L. Dieyeand and D. A. Dione (2005). Déterminants [13]. 348 socioculturels du retard de la 1ere consultation prénatals dans un district sanitaire au Sénégal. Santé 349 Publ., 17: 531-538. DOI : 10.3917/spub.054.0531.
- 350 Oscar Kolnziam Nsutier, Gédéon Ngiala Bongo, Ruth Claudine Tshiama, Cassilda Kininde [14]. 351 Kibongo, Jacques Murazini Kanika, et Lekya Mukandu Basua Babintu., (2018). Determinants of 352 pregnant women intention to respect the optimal timeframe for intermittent preventive treatment to 353 Article Sulfadoxine pyrimethamine against malaria scientifique. 354 http://dx.doi.org/10.7324/ELSR.2018.415365.
- Diwambazila., T., Ngoma., M. et Amuli., J. (2013). Facteurs explicatifs de l'observance aux auto-355 [15]. soins thérapeutiques chez les patients souffrant du diabète de type 2. Mémoire de fin d'étude de 356 357 licence, ISTM/Kinshasa, RDC,
- 358 [16]. Karter, AJ, Parker MM, Moffer HH, et coll. (2006). Longitudinal study of new and prevalent use of 359 self-monitoring of blood glucose. Diabetes Care; 29: 1757-1763.
- 360 [17]. Harris et al., (1987)

- 361 [18]. O'connor et al., (2003)
- 362 [19]. Nelson, K. M.; Reiber, G.; & Boyko, E. J. (2002). Diet and exerciseamong adults with type 2
  363 diabetes: Findings from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Diabetes Care 25
  364 (10), 1722-1728.
- 365 [20]. Di Matteo, M. R (2004). Social support and patient adherence to medicaltreatment: meta-366 analysis. Health Psychology, 23(2), 207-218.
- 367 [21]. Bandura (1977). Health promotion research: Towards a new social epidemiology. Series N° 37.
  368 WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen.
- 369 [22]. Rosestock, (1974).
- Kanter (1977). Longitudinal study of new and prevalent use of self-monitoring of blood
  glucose.Diabetes Care; 29: 1757-1763.