

## **Original Research Article**

### **Effect of cowpea biscuits on nutritional and cognitive development of pre-school children**

**Abstract:** Worldwide, malnutrition remains a major public health problem in pre-school children. Generally, childhood malnutrition has been documented as an important risk factor for child's nutritional and intellectual growth. This study aimed to determine the effect of supplementation of cowpea biscuits on nutritional status and cognitive development of malnourished pre-school children. Pre-school children, aged 3-5 years, from Saraswati Shishu Mandir School, Pantnagar were screened for low weight for age. Malnourished children (N=48), who volunteered, were divided equally into three groups viz. control I (no supplementation), control II (refined wheat flour biscuits) and experimental group (cowpea biscuits) and subjected to intervention for the period of three months. Parameters like height, weight, mid upper arm circumference (MUAC) and cognitive development was analyzed before and after supplementation in each month. No significant differences between groups were observed during follow-up concerning height, weight, MUAC, however differences tended to be in expected direction. Experimental group supplemented with cowpea biscuits outperformed significantly in all the domains of cognitive development as compared to control groups. The study concluded that cowpea supplementation is likely to be more effective in cognitive development of malnourished preschool children in short period of time.

**Keywords:** Supplementation, height, weight, legume, protein and malnutrition

### **Introduction**

Prosperity and future of a nation depends on the physical and mental health of its children. It must be acknowledged that children are valuable human resource who will contribute substantially to the national economy, development and progress. Promoting health and education at early age is an important commitment.

Nutritional status of children has a major effect on their cognitive development (**Marotz, 2015**). Indeed, there is no dispute over the importance of the study of child's nutritional status. Sufficient body of literature existing suggests malnutrition not only affects physical growth, physical activity, motor development but also hampers attention, memory, learning, thinking, perception and impairs intellectual functioning (**Nyaradi et al., 2013; Prado and Dewey, 2014**).

33 Malnutrition has been recognized to cause serious health, development and economic  
34 problem. India is home to 29.4, 38.7 and 15.1 per cent of the underweight, stunted and wasted  
35 children below 5 years of age (**RSoC, 2014**). **Whaley et al. (2003)** have discussed that children  
36 who suffer from malnutrition of different grades exhibit significant insufficiency in intellectual  
37 and behaviour functioning. Malnourished children typically are fatigued and uninterested in their  
38 social environment and they are less likely to establish relationships or explore and learn from  
39 their surroundings. This consequently affects their overall cognitive development. Malnutrition  
40 during preschool age results in later poor school performance, working ability and physical  
41 growth (**Kumari and Jain, 2005**).

42 Protein energy malnutrition (PEM) occurs typically in preschool children under 5 years,  
43 whenever the diet is poor in protein and energy. Shortage of cheap, easily digestible  
44 complementary foods, containing good quality protein, is one of the main causes of malnutrition  
45 in children. Generally, the animal proteins foods are in short supply and expensive.  
46 Supplementation of low cost vegetable proteins such as legumes could be an important mode to  
47 alleviate the problem of PEM. With a high protein content, along with energy values and the  
48 important vitamin and mineral content, legumes should be recognized for their nutritional  
49 importance. **Prasad and Kochhar (2015)** suggested a combination of cereals with legumes  
50 would improve the protein and nutrient density of the subsequent food products. **Ishfaq et al.**  
51 **(2014)** studied the biological value (BV) of cowpea blended with rice and barley weaning foods  
52 in albino rats and found that highest BV (90.23%) was observed in diet prepared from autoclaved  
53 rice flour and malted cowpea flour.

54 The present study has been carried out with the objectives to develop the cowpea biscuits,  
55 to determine the sensory acceptability and nutritional composition of biscuits and to examine the

56 effect of cowpea biscuits on nutritional status and cognitive development of malnourished  
57 children.

## 58 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 59 **2.1 Procurement of raw material**

60 Local variety of cowpea, refined wheat flour, castor sugar, vanilla essence, hydrogenated  
61 fat, sodium bicarbonate and baking powder were purchased from the local market of Pantnagar.

### 62 **2.2 Processing of grain**

63 Cowpea seeds were sun dried for one day and manually cleaned to remove stones, grit,  
64 chaff and other impurities. After sun drying, the seeds were oven roasted at 120°C for 2 hours in  
65 order to remove its anti-nutrients before milling (**Udensi et al., 2007**). The roasted seeds were  
66 then milled in flour mill to give the finer flour. Cowpea flour thus prepared was packed in air  
67 tight containers and was used for making biscuits in the *Phoolbagh* Bakery, Pantnagar.

### 68 **2.3 Biscuits preparation**

69 Biscuits were prepared using the basic recipe suggested by **Vandana et.al. 2014**.

### 70 **2.4 Locale and period of study**

71 Out of the three primary schools located in Pantnagar, Saraswati Shishu Mandir had been  
72 randomly selected by lottery system. This school was used as a research base from where  
73 preschoolers were randomly drawn as respondents for the present study. The study was carried  
74 out for a period of three months from February 12, 2011 to May 12, 2011.

### 75 **2.5 Selection of subjects**

76 Firstly the Principal of Saraswati Shishu Mandir was approached in the school itself and  
77 oriented about the goal of the study. Thereafter, written consent of him was obtained to make  
78 anthropometric assessments of children aged 3-5 years. Anthropometric measurement *viz.*, height

79 and weight of 86 subjects were recorded for selection of malnourished children before  
80 supplementation.

81 After preliminary survey, 48 preschool children were identified as malnourished according to  
82 weight for age criteria using Gomez classification. The written consent was drawn from parents  
83 of the subjects before intervention and the procedure adopted in present investigation was  
84 explained to the parent or guardian.

85 Finally 16 subjects were present in the three groups.

86 **Control I Group:** 16 children kept on home diet only.

87 **Control II Group:** 16 children fed refined wheat flour biscuits.

88 **Experimental Group:** 16 children supplemented with 70 per cent cowpea incorporated  
89 biscuits.

## 90 **2.6 Selection of biscuits**

91 Equi-calorie biscuits providing about 500 Kcal of energy/day/child were chosen for  
92 supplementation purposes in case of pre-school children.

93 Each supplemented group received ten biscuits comprising the weight of 100g daily. Five  
94 biscuits were given in the morning at about 9 O'clock and five biscuits in the mid morning at  
95 about 11 O'clock.

## 96 **2.7 Sensory evaluation of biscuits**

97 Both the biscuits were evaluated by a panel of 15 semi- trained judges selected at random  
98 from the Department of Foods & Nutrition, College of Home Science, Pantnagar. The products  
99 were attributed for color, texture, flavor, after taste and overall acceptability by score card  
100 method where 1= very poor, 2= poor, 3=fair, 4=good and 5=very good.

## 101 **2.8 Nutritive evaluation of biscuits**

102 The nutritive analysis of 70 per cent cowpea incorporated biscuit and 100 per cent refined  
103 wheat flour biscuits were done in triplicates by **AOAC (1995)**.

## 104 **2.9 Administration of scale**

105 Hema Pandey's cognitive development test for pre-schoolers was administered orally in a  
106 room to ensure privacy of the administration of the test. The child was given sufficient time to  
107 complete all the test items. The total score constitutes the "raw" scores. Each child was subjected  
108 to test the same day.

## 109 **2.10 Statistical analysis**

110 Least significant difference was used to analyze significant difference in sensory  
111 attributes of 70 per cent cowpea incorporated biscuits and refined wheat flour biscuits. Nutritive  
112 value of both biscuits were analyzed by paired comparison test 't-test'. The data was analyzed  
113 for percentage and ANOVA to find difference in nutritional status and cognitive development  
114 between the groups during pre and post supplementation.

## 115 **3. Results and Discussion**

### 116 **3.1 Sensory evaluation**

117 Among the two variation mean score for the colour (4.2), appearance (4.0), flavor (4.2),  
118 taste (4.4), texture (4.40), aftertaste(4.47) and overall acceptability (4.3) of the refined wheat flour  
119 biscuit was high as compared to cowpea biscuits as they have mean score of 3.47 for colour ,  
120 3.67 for appearance, 3.6 for score, 3.53 for taste and texture, 3.13 for aftertaste and 3.7 for  
121 overall acceptability .The reasons of low mean score of cowpea biscuits are colour of biscuit  
122 changed from creamy to light brown, texture become slightly rough and also contain little  
123 amount of beany flavor . However, there was non-significant difference in the colour,  
124 appearance, flavour taste, texture, aftertaste and overall acceptability of both the biscuits.

125 **Table. 1 Sensory evaluation of biscuits (n=15)**

Biscuits	Cowpea biscuit (70 per cent)	Refined Wheat Flour biscuit	LSD
Colour	3.47	4.20	1.34 <sup>ns</sup>
Appearance	3.67	4.00	ns
Flavour	3.60	4.20	1.60 <sup>ns</sup>
Taste	3.53	4.40	1.61 <sup>ns</sup>
Texture	3.53	4.40	1.07 <sup>ns</sup>
Aftertaste	3.13	4.47	2.12 <sup>ns</sup>
Overall acceptability	3.73	4.33	1.26 <sup>ns</sup>

126 LSD- Least Significant Difference      ns- non significant difference

127 **3.2 Nutritive value**

128 All the developed biscuits provide one third of the day's requirement. The protein content  
129 of the biscuits is around 15.2 g per 100 g. Therefore, both calories and proteins provided by the  
130 biscuits can easily satisfy the day's requirement of children of 3-5 years of age. Presence of good  
131 amounts of fat and total ash made the biscuits rich in several macro and micronutrients.

132 **Table.2 Nutrient analysis of biscuits on as is basis (n=3)**

Components	Cowpea Biscuit (70 per cent)	Refined Wheat Flour Biscuit	't' value
Moisture (g%)	3.2±0.2	4.5±0.1	8.7*
Ash (g%)	1.3±0.0	0.6±0.1	24.6*
Crude protein (g%)	15.2±0.1	12.2±0.5	9.8*
Crude fat (g%)	24.4±0.2	24.8±0.3	2.7 <sup>ns</sup>
Crude fiber (g%)	4.4±0.2	1.5±0.1	21*
Carbohydrate by difference (g%)	66.8±0.4	68.5±0.1	7.1*
Energy (Kcal/100g)	547±2.7	546±3.8	0.2 <sup>ns</sup>
Iron (mg/100g)	4.3 ±0.3	3.1±0.1	4.9 <sup>ns</sup>
Zinc (mg/100g)	3.1 ±0.2	0.1±0.1	26.4*

133 \*significant at 5%      ns-non significant      Mean ± S.D.

134 The values are mean of triplicate estimations/observations

135

136 **3.3 Changes in anthropometric parameters before and after supplementation of biscuits**

137 **Height, Weight and MUAC:**

138 From Table 3 it is clear that the mean increment in weight, height and MUAC of children  
139 between the groups did not differ significantly. Observations indicate mean increment in weight,  
140 height and MUAC was independent of supplement of cowpea and refined wheat flour biscuits.

141

UNDER PEER REVIEW

142 **Table 3: Mean increment in anthropometric measurements after 90 days supplementation**  
 143 **of biscuits**

Groups	Weight (kg)				Height (cm)				MUAC (cm)			
	Initial	Final	Difference	Result	Initial	Final	Difference	Result	Initial	Final	Difference	Result
<sup>1</sup> Control- I	13.5 ± 1.1	14.1 ± 1.1	0.6	1 Vs 2-ns 1 Vs 3-ns 2 Vs 3-ns	98.5 ± 4.4	99.7 ± 4.3	1.2	1 Vs 2-ns 1 Vs 3-ns 2 Vs 3-ns	14.8 ± 0.8	14.9 ± 0.7	0.1	1 Vs 2-ns 1 Vs 3-ns 2 Vs 3-ns
<sup>2</sup> Control- II	14.0 ± 1.3	14.3 ± 1.4	0.3		100.7 ± 5.2	102.2 ± 5.6	1.5		14.8 ± 0.9	14.8 ± 0.9	0	
<sup>3</sup> Experimental	13.4 ± 1.1	14.2 ± 1.1	0.8		98.8 ± 4	100.0 ± 4	1.2		14.9 ± 0.9	15.1 ± 0.8	0.2	

144 Values are Mean±S.D.

145 ns = non- significant (p>0.05),

146 Values in parentheses shows mean increment in anthropometric measurements

147 1= Control- I, 2= Control- II and 3= Experimental group

148

149 **3.4 Effect of supplementation on shift in malnutrition grades in the study groups:**

150 Initially 6.25% subjects of experimental group were in grade III malnutrition. There were  
 151 12.5 % subjects in control- I, 6.25% subjects in control- II and also in experimental group in  
 152 grade II malnutrition. Whereas 87.5% subjects in control- I and experimental group and 93.75%  
 153 subjects were in grade I malnutrition.

154 At the end of the study period, 18.75% of subjects in control- I and control- II moved from grade  
 155 II and grade I to normal. Only 75% and 6.25% in control- I, were still in grade- I and grade- II  
 156 respectively. In control- II, 68.75 % and 12.5% were still in grade I and grade II. In experimental  
 157 group, 12.5% of subjects moved from grade II and grade I to normal and only 81.25% and 6.25%



158 were in grade I and grade II respectively. However, results of shift in malnutrition grades showed  
 159 non-significant difference within as well between the groups during supplementation period.

160 **Table 4: Shift in malnutrition grades**

<b>GROUPS</b>		<b>0 day</b>	<b>30 day</b>	<b>60 day</b>	<b>90 day</b>	<b>Chi square</b>
<b>C-I (No biscuits)</b>	<b>Grade I (mild)</b>	87.5 (14)	87.5 (14)	81.25 (13)	75 (12)	3.68 <sup>ns</sup>
	<b>Grade II (moderate)</b>	12.5 (2)	6.25 (1)	6.25 (1)	6.25 (1)	
	<b>Grade III (severe)</b>	0	0	0	0	
	<b>Normal</b>	0	6.25 (1)	12.5 (2)	18.75 (3)	
<b>C-II (Refined wheat flour biscuits)</b>	<b>Grade I (mild)</b>	93.75 (15)	75 (12)	81.25 (13)	68.75 (11)	4.12 <sup>ns</sup>
	<b>Grade II (moderate)</b>	6.25 (1)	18.75 (3)	12.5 (2)	12.5 (2)	
	<b>Grade III (severe)</b>	0	0	0	0	
	<b>Normal</b>	0	6.25 (1)	6.25 (1)	18.75 (3)	
<b>Experimental group (Cowpea biscuit)</b>	<b>Grade I (mild)</b>	87.5 (14)	81.25 (13)	81.25 (13)	81.25 (13)	2.13 <sup>ns</sup>
	<b>Grade II (moderate)</b>	6.25 (1)	12.5 (2)	12.5 (2)	6.25 (1)	
	<b>Grade III (severe)</b>	6.25 (1)	0	0	0	
	<b>Normal</b>	0	6.25 (1)	6.25 (1)	12.5 (2)	
<b>Chi square</b>	<b>0.06<sup>ns</sup></b>					

161 Values in parentheses indicate number of preschool children ns = non- significant

162

163 **3.5 Cognitive development of children:**

164 A close perusal of Table 5 illustrates that 43.8%, 56.3% and 43.8% of children from  
 165 Control I, Control II and Experimental Group, respectively were conceptually low and only  
 166 6.2%, 12.5% and 6.2% were conceptually high, respectively before intervention. However, after  
 167 90 days a tremendous gain in conceptual skills was observed. Only 6.2%, 12.5% and 0% of

168 children from Control I, Control II and Experimental Group respectively were conceptually low.  
169 After 90 days 31.3%, 43.8% and 68.8% of children from three groups respectively were  
170 conceptually high.

171 Information characteristics reflecting cognition in 3-5 years old children showed that before  
172 supplementation 56.2%, 31.3% and 31.2% children were at low level from Control I, Control II  
173 and Experimental Group, respectively and 0% children from Control I as well as from  
174 Experimental group and 12.4% children from Control II group were at high level. However, after  
175 90 days only 6.2%, 0% and 0% of children from Control I, Control II and Experimental Group  
176 respectively were at low level and 25%, 18.8% and 68.8% of children from three groups  
177 respectively were at high level.

178 Comprehension skill shows that in Control I, Control II and Experimental group 12.5%, 31.2%  
179 and 12.5% children were in low level and no one was in high level. After 90 days, 0% children in  
180 Control I and Experimental group, 6.2% children in Control II group, respectively, were in low  
181 level; however, 18.8 % children of Control I and Control II group and 56.2 % children of  
182 Experimental group were in high level.

183 In visual perception, 31.2% children of Control I, Control II and Experimental Group,  
184 respectively, were at low level and 0% children of Control I as well as of Control II group and  
185 12.4% children of Experimental Group were in high level. After 90 days, 18.8 % children of  
186 Control I & Control II group were in low level while it is interesting to note that from  
187 Experimental group no one was found in low level. However, 6.2% children from Control I  
188 group, 18.8% children from Control II group and 87.5% children from Experimental group were  
189 in high level, respectively after intervention.

190 Regarding memory, results of the study showed that, 87.5%, 81.2% and 56.2% of children from  
191 Control I, Control II and Experimental Group, respectively were in low level and none of the  
192 three groups, respectively, were in high, before intervention. However, after 90 days 43.8%,  
193 25.0% and 0% of children from Control I, Control II and Experimental Group respectively were  
194 in low level and 6.2%, 0% and 31.2% of children from Control I, Control II and Experimental  
195 Group respectively were at high level.

196 In Object vocabulary, 12.5% children of Control I were in low as well as in high level, in Control  
197 II group 18.8% children were in low and 25% children were in high level and in Experimental  
198 group, 0% children were in low level and 31.2% children were in high level . However, after 90  
199 days it was observed that none of the children from either group was in low level while 87.5%,  
200 68.8% and 100% of children from Control I, Control II and Experimental Group, respectively  
201 were at high level.

202 The overall score reveal that 43.8%, 31.3% and 12.5% of children from Control I, Control II and  
203 Experimental Group respectively were at low level and only 0%, 12.4%, 6.2% of children from  
204 Control I, Control II and Experimental Group, respectively were at high level before  
205 intervention. After three months of supplementation it was observed that none of the child from  
206 either group was at low level but 31.2%, 25.0% and 81.2% of children from Control I, Control II  
207 and Experimental Group respectively were at high level.

208 The rise in cognitive development after 90 days was more in the children from experimental  
209 group than those from Control I & Control II group. The reason for it may be attributed to  
210 supplementing cowpea biscuits in the diet of experimental group.

211 An overview of Table 5 elucidates that all the domains of cognitive development shows that after  
212 three months of supplementation period there is a marked shift of all the three groups (Control I,

213 Control II and Experimental group) from low to high level of cognitive development which may  
 214 be due to maturation. But highest shift from low level to high level of cognitive development  
 215 was noted among preschoolers of Experimental group which clearly indicate the effect of  
 216 fortification of protein and energy dense foods in the form of weaning biscuits.

217 **Table 5. Percentage distribution of preschool children on different domains of cognitive**  
 218 **development over the period of supplementation**

Domain of cognitive development	Level	Control I (n=16)		Control II (n=16)		Experimental (n=16)	
		B	A	B	A	B	A
Conceptual skill	Low	43.8	6.2	56.3	12.4	43.8	-
	Medium	50.0	62.5	31.2	43.8	50.0	31.2
	High	06.2	31.3	12.5	43.8	6.2	68.8
Information	Low	56.2	6.2	31.3	-	31.2	-
	Medium	43.8	68.8	56.3	81.2	68.8	31.2
	High	-	25.0	12.4	18.8	-	68.8
Comprehension	Low	12.5	-	31.2	6.2	12.5	-
	Medium	87.5	81.2	68.8	75.0	87.5	43.8
	High	-	18.8	-	18.8	-	56.2
Visual Perception	Low	31.2	18.8	31.2	18.8	31.3	-
	Medium	68.8	75.0	68.8	62.4	56.3	12.5
	High	-	06.2	-	18.8	12.4	87.5
Memory	Low	87.5	43.8	81.2	25.0	56.2	-
	Medium	12.5	50.0	18.8	75.0	43.8	68.8
	High	-	06.2	-	-	-	31.2
Object Vocabulary	Low	12.5	-	18.8	-	-	-
	Medium	75.0	12.5	56.2	31.2	68.8	-
	High	12.5	87.5	25.0	68.8	31.2	100.0
Overall Score	Low	43.8	-	31.3	-	12.5	-
	Medium	56.2	68.8	56.3	75.0	81.3	18.8
	High	-	31.2	12.4	25.0	06.2	81.2

219 Low: 0-9 score Medium: 10-18 score High: 19-27 score n=no. of children

220 B:Before supplementation A: After supplementation

221

222 **3.6 Mean score in various domains of cognitive development**

223 It can be well seen from the Table 6 that after 90 days of supplementation period, in  
 224 Conceptual skill there was significant difference found between Experimental ( $\bar{x}$ =20.3) and  
 225 Control I group ( $\bar{x}$ =16.0). In case of Information there was significant difference between  
 226 Experimental group ( $\bar{x}$ =6.1) with Control I ( $\bar{x}$ =4.6) and Control II ( $\bar{x}$ =4.4) group. Similarly in  
 227 Comprehension, Visual Perception, Memory, Object Vocabulary and Overall Score significant  
 228 difference was observed between experimental group with control I group and also with control  
 229 II group. These results are indicative of the better cognitive development of experimental group  
 230 (supplemented with 70 percent cowpea incorporated biscuits) followed by control II (fed refined  
 231 wheat flour biscuits) and control I (home diet) bringing out the importance of cowpea.

232 **Table.6 Mean score in the domain of cognitive development**

Domain of cognitive development	Groups	Mean score	
		0 Day	90 Day
Conceptual skill	Control I (1)	10.7	16.0 <sup>b</sup>
	Control II (2)	11.6	17.6
	Experimental (3)	11.4	20.3 <sup>a</sup>
	<b>C.D. at 5 %</b>		
	1 v. 2	Ns	Ns
	1 v. 3	Ns	2.9
	2 v. 3	Ns	Ns
	Information	Control I (1)	2.4
Control II (2)		2.9	4.4 <sup>b</sup>
Experimental (3)		3.1	6.1 <sup>a</sup>
<b>C.D. at 5 %</b>			
1 v. 2		Ns	Ns
1 v. 3		Ns	0.8

	<b>2 v. 3</b>	Ns	0.8
<b>Comprehension</b>	<b>Control I (1)</b>	3.1	4.6 <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Control II (2)</b>	3.2	4.9 <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Experimental (3)</b>	3.7	5.6 <sup>a</sup>
	<b>C.D. at 5 %</b>		
	<b>1 v. 2</b>	Ns	Ns
	<b>1 v. 3</b>	Ns	0.6
	<b>2 v. 3</b>	Ns	0.6
<b>Visual Perception</b>	<b>Control I (1)</b>	2.9	4.1 <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Control II (2)</b>	2.9	4.2 <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Experimental (3)</b>	3.7	6.2 <sup>a</sup>
	<b>C.D. at 5 %</b>		
	<b>1 v. 2</b>	Ns	Ns
	<b>1 v. 3</b>	Ns	0.9
	<b>2 v. 3</b>	Ns	0.9
<b>Memory</b>	<b>Control I (1)</b>	1.3 <sup>b</sup>	4.3 <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Control II (2)</b>	2.2	4.5 <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Experimental (3)</b>	2.9 <sup>a</sup>	6.5 <sup>a</sup>
	<b>C.D. at 5 %</b>		
	<b>1 v. 2</b>	Ns	Ns
	<b>1 v. 3</b>	1.2	1.2
	<b>2 v. 3</b>	Ns	1.2
<b>Object vocabulary</b>	<b>Control I (1)</b>	3.7	5.1
	<b>Control II (2)</b>	3.6	5.1 <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Experimental (3)</b>	4.2	5.6 <sup>a</sup>
	<b>C.D. at 5 %</b>		
	<b>1 v. 2</b>	Ns	Ns
	<b>1 v. 3</b>	Ns	Ns
	<b>2 v. 3</b>	Ns	0.5
<b>Overall score</b>	<b>Control I (1)</b>	24.2	38.7 <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Control II (2)</b>	26.5	40.8 <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Experimental (3)</b>	29.1	50.3 <sup>a</sup>
	<b>C.D. at 5 %</b>		
	<b>1 v. 2</b>	Ns	Ns

	<b>1 v. 3</b>	Ns	5.5
	<b>2 v. 3</b>	Ns	5.5

233 Control I (no supplementation), Control II (fed refined wheat flour biscuits), Experimental (supplemented with 70

234 per cent cowpea incorporated biscuits) ,ns- non significant difference

235 Values with dissimilar letters in superscript are significantly different (p<0.05)

236

237 The findings of present study showed that there was no significant effect of the  
 238 intervention for the anthropometric outcomes viz. height, weight and MUAC between the  
 239 groups. Several factors probably contributed to the absence of a statistically significant effect on  
 240 growth. First, significant number of children was in the category of mild and moderate  
 241 malnutrition at baseline. Second, the intervention was of a relatively short duration, especially  
 242 given the age of our participants and hence their slower growth rate relative to preschoolers  
 243 **(Eveleth and Tanner, 1990).**

244 The interesting finding in the present study was that after 3 months of providing cowpea  
 245 supplemented biscuits to the children from experimental group, they improved significantly on  
 246 all the domains of cognitive development in comparison to those for control II and control I  
 247 group. The findings of the present study are in line with that of **Nazni et al., 2010** who reported  
 248 that after three months supplementation of potato flour biscuits, cognitive performance was good  
 249 in the supplemented group children as compared to the control group. Similarly, **Solon et al in**  
 250 **2003** reported that supplementation of multiple-micronutrient-fortified fruit powder beverage for  
 251 16 weeks showed significant improvements in cognitive performance. In another study  
 252 supplementation of beta-carotene fortified biscuits significantly improved the cognitive functions  
 253 of the children [**Van Stuijvenberg et al** in 1999].

254 The limitation of present study is that biochemical method to assess protein energy malnutrition  
 255 status should have been adopted to see the immediate effect of supplementation.

256 **4. Conclusion**

257           It can be concluded that experimental group (supplemented with cowpea incorporated  
258 biscuits), control II group (supplemented with refined wheat flour biscuits) and control I (no  
259 supplementation) differed on components of cognitive ability. The high scores on cognitive  
260 development among experimental group may be attributed to the effectiveness of supplementary  
261 nutrition provided at school.

262  
263 **5. References**

- 264 1. Akinjayeju O, Enude OT. Effects of dehulling on some properties of cowpea (*Vigna*  
265 *unguiculata* L. Walp) flours. Italian Journal of Food Science. 2002; 14 (1): 53-58.
- 266 2. Udensi E A, Ekwu F C, Isinguzo J N. Antinutrient factors of vegetable cowpea  
267 (*sesquipedalis*) seeds during thermal processing. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 2007;  
268 6(2):194-197.
- 269 3. Vandana, Kushwaha A, Kumar, A. Development of high protein biscuits from cowpea  
270 (*Vigna unguiculata*) flour. International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research.  
271 2014;12(2): 288-291.
- 272 4. Van Stuijvenberg ME, Kvalsvig JD, Faber M, Kruger M, Kenoyer DG, Benade AJ. Effect of  
273 iron-, iodine-, and beta-carotene-fortified biscuits on the micronutrient status of primary  
274 school children: a randomized controlled trial. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1999;  
275 69(3):497-503.
- 276 5. Marotz, L.R. 2015. Health, Safety and Nutrition of Young Child. 9<sup>th</sup> ed. USA, Cengage  
277 Learning. 553p.



- 278 6. Nyaradi, A.; Li, J.; Hickling, S.; Foster, J. and Oddy, W.H. 2013. The role of nutrition in  
279 children's neurocognitive development, from pregnancy through childhood. *Frontiers in*  
280 *Human Neuroscience*. 7: 97.
- 281 7. Prado, E.L. and Dewey, K.G. 2014. Nutrition and brain development in early life. *Nutrition*  
282 *Reviews*. 72(4): 267-284.
- 283 8. Whaley, S.E.; Sigman, M.; Neumann, C.; Bwio, N.; Guthrie, D.; Weiss, R.E.; Alber, S. and  
284 Murphy, S.P. 2003. The impact of dietary intervention on the cognitive development of  
285 Kenyan school children. *The Journal of Nutrition*. 133(11): 3965-3971S.
- 286 9. Ishfaq, B.; Iqbal, M.; njum, F.M.; Pasha, I.; Ishfaq, M.T. and Usman, M. 2014. Probing  
287 nutritional assessment of cereal and cowpea based weaning food. *International Journal of*  
288 *Scientific & Engineering Research*. 5(6): 423-428.
- 289 10. Prasad, P. and Kochhar, A. 2015. Nutritional intervention to combat malnutrition among  
290 children under the age of five: A review. *International Journal of Health Sciences and*  
291 *Research*. 5(2): 374-380.
- 292 11. AOAC. Official method of the association of official analytical chemists. Washington D.C.,  
293 1995.
- 294 12. Chandrasekhar U, Hilda W. Supplementation studies with soy protein isolate based food mix  
295 on 1-2 years old malnourished children- 1. Improvement in their anthropometric parameters.  
296 *The Indian Journal of Nutrition and Dietetics*. 2004; 41: 324-336.
- 297 13. Eveleth PB, Tanner JM. Worldwide variation in human growth. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed, Cambridge, United  
298 Kingdom, 1990.
- 299 14. Nazni P, Pradheepa S, Hasan A. Effects of weaning biscuits on the nutritional profile and the  
300 cognitive development in preschool children. *Italian Journal of Pediatrics*. 2010; 36:18.

- 301 15. NFHS. Statistics on children in India. New Delhi, National Institute of Public Co-operation  
302 and Child Development. 2005-06, 158p.
- 303 16. Solon FS, Sarol JNJ, Bernardo A, Solon JA, Mehansho H, Sanchez-Fermin LE, Wambangco  
304 LS, Juhlin KD. Effect of a multiple-micronutrient-fortified fruit powder beverage on the  
305 nutrition status, physical fitness, and cognitive performance of schoolchildren in the  
306 Philippines. *Food Nutrition Bulletin*. 2003; 24(4):S129-40.
- 307 17. Kumari, S. and Jain, R. 2005. Assessment of nutritional status of school children from rural  
308 Bihar. *The Indian Journal of Nutrition & Dietetics*. 42: 326-334.
- 309 18. Tewari P, Choudhary S, Shekhawat N. Cowpea utilization in India. In: Kumar D, Singh N B  
310 ed. *Cowpea in India*, Scientific Publishers ,India. 2004, 256-257.

311

312