

1 Comparative Efficacy of Different Brands of Baker's Yeast Used in Bread production in

2 Jos Metropolis

3 Abstract

4 Consumption of bread and other baked aerated wheat flour products has spurred the needs to
5 determine the leavening ability of different brands of baker's yeast used in bread production. In
6 this study we assessed the leaving ability of different brands of baker's yeast in production of
7 quality bread and the flour used in baking test was Dangote flour. Seven brands of different
8 commercial baker's yeast were collected from the 13 different brands sold in Jos market. These
9 brands includes: Angel instant active dry yeast (ANGY), Saf-instant active dry yeast (SAFY),
10 Food mont instant active dry yeast (FOMY), Pasha instant active dry yeast (PASY), STK- Royal
11 active dry yeast (ROYA), Vahine active dry yeast (VAHY) and Fermipan active dry yeast
12 (FEMY). The results of the viability tests for the different brands of active dry yeast indicated
13 that six out of the seven brands were 100% viable while one had only one dead cell. Statistical
14 calculation revealed that the mean percentage viability varied significantly from 99.4% in
15 Fermipan active dry yeast to 100 percent in the other six brands with standard deviation of 0.4.
16 The result of the fermentation rate in bread yeast as pH changes with time at 26⁰C shows steady
17 decrease in pH values of all the different brands of yeast suspension. It was concluded that all the
18 seven brands of baker's yeast tested were suitable for use in bread production when compared
19 with the standard.

20 **Key word:** Baker's yeast, Flour, Fermentation, pH, Temperature

21 INTRODUCTION:

22 Bread is a staple food prepared from dough of flour and water, usually by baking. Consumption
23 of bread and other baked aerated wheat flour products has spread in Nigeria and other
24 developing countries of the world. Yeasts are predominantly unicellular fungi which exist
25 throughout the nature. They are frequently found associated with plant leaves, flowers, soil, skin
26 and intestinal tract of warm blooded animals (Lodder *et al.*, 1956). The capacity of some yeasts
27 to bring a rapid and efficient conversion of sugars into alcohol and carbon dioxide give a great
28 contribution to the progress and well being of the human race more than any other group of
29 microorganism since 2000 B.C. (Rose, A. H. and Harrison, 1969) and (Gelinas, P, 2009).

30 The bread we consume today is the result of the discovery by a French chemist, Louis Pasteur,
31 who proved that fermentation; an enzyme induced chemical alteration in food was caused by
32 yeast. Although, many genera and species of yeast exist in nature, the most technologically well
33 known and commercially significant yeast in bread making are the related strains and species of
34 *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae* (Kanamori *et al.*, 1997). These organisms which are used as baker's
35 yeast are classical examples of microorganisms which exhibit both aerobic and anaerobic
36 metabolism which are important in commercial circles (Beudeker *et al.*, 1990).

37 Yeast is the most important ingredient in dough preparation used for bread making or some other
38 Products. Dough should be with an excellent viability to attain the best leavening power
39 necessary for production of good quality bread. Water is an integral part of wheat flour dough;
40 the amount, physical state and location of water are crucial to the formation of dough that will
41 hold gas and produce an open, aerated crumb structure in the final product (Loveday, 2012).
42 Yeast needs energy to survive, and has a number of ways to attain this energy; fermentation and
43 respiration are two ways (Yerushaml and volesky, 1981). Fermentation is favoured more by
44 reducing sugars such as glucose, fructose, maltose and sucrose, producing alcohol and carbon
45 dioxide gas in the process. The carbon dioxide produced is trapped within the elastic dough
46 resulting in flavoured fermented taste desirable to consumers. The bread produced from
47 different Bakeries in Jos metropolis reveals some glaring variations in taste, flavour and texture
48 when different brands of bread yeasts are used with the same type of bakeries flour. The
49 objective of this work was to assess the efficiency of the different brands of yeast used in dough
50 rising.

51 **MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

52 **Samples Collection**

53 Seven brands of baker's yeast were collected from the 13 different brands sold in Jos market.
54 These brands includes: Angel instant active dry yeast (ANGY), Saf-instant active dry yeast
55 (SAFY), Foodmont instant active dry yeast (FOMY), Pasha instant active dry yeast (PASY),
56 STK- Royal active dry yeast (ROYA), Vahine active dry yeast (VAHY) and Fermipan active dry
57 yeast (FEMY). These brands were readily available and are commonly used by bakers within jos
58 metropolis and were duly purchased in packs of 250g each. Out of the 30 bakeries currently in
59 operation in Jos, 10 bakeries houses using these seven different types of yeast were randomly
60 selected.

61 **The Flour Used**

62 The flour used in baking test was Dangote flour. Using a cylindrical polished metal trier- 13mm
63 diameter with a slit 1/3 of the circumference flour samples from ready to use sack for bread
64 making were carefully taken (500g) and put in to clean dry containers and sealed to maintain air
65 tight condition until when required for use.

66 **Determination of Yeast Viability**

67 This was carried out according to the methylene blue staining method adopted by Rocken and
68 Staruss (1976) using Thoma counting Chamber. Exactly 0.1g of each type of yeast under test
69 was weighed in to 10ml warm sterile distilled water. Thereafter 1g of glucose was added and the
70 content was properly shaken to dissolve yeast and sugar completely, this was left in an incubator
71 at 30 °C for 3 hours. The stock was diluted 10 fold by taking 1ml of sample (stock), plus 1ml of
72 methylene blue and 1ml of 5N acetic acid and finally made up to 10ml by the addition of 7ml of
73 sterile distilled water. This process was repeated further to make the dilution to 10^{-2} such that the

74 cell concentration was between 15- 300 cells present per microscope field. The drop of the
75 mixture was applied to the ruled grids of the Thoma haemocytometer chamber. By counting the
76 total number of cells in the number of squares and counting the number of blue cells in the same
77 group of squares, the percentage of dead cells were calculated from the total number of cells
78 present.

79 Thus: % viability $\frac{\text{Number of live yeast (unstained cells)}}{\text{Total number of yeast cells (dead and living)}} \times 100$
80

81 **Measurement of Fermentation rate in Bread Yeast**

82 This was carried out according to the methods of Association of Official Analytical Chemists
83 (AOAC, 1980). Standard buffer solutions with pH near that of the sample and two others to
84 check linearity of electrode response were prepared (pH 4, pH 7 and Ph 10). Thereafter a
85 solution of the yeast under test was prepared by adding one teaspoonful in to 150ml warm water
86 followed by the addition of a pinch of sucrose in to the solution. The pH equipment was
87 standardized with the standard buffer solutions of pH 4, pH 7 and pH 10 respectively. The
88 electrode was then washed 6-8 times with portions of the sample (yeast) solution and thereafter
89 inserted into the fresh yeast sample solution. The temperature was determined and pH readings
90 were taken at intervals of 30 minutes for 3.5 hours. The fermentation rate which corresponds to
91 the degree of respiratory rate of the yeast was computed by taking the readings of the changes in
92 pH of the yeast solution against time.

93 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:**

94 The result of the viability tests for the different brands of active dry yeast was shown in Table 1.
95 The results indicated that six out of the seven brands were 100% viable while one had only one
96 dead cell. Statistical calculation revealed that the mean percentage viability varied significantly

97 from 99.4 percent in Fermipan active dry yeast to 100 percent in the other six brands with
 98 standard deviation of 0.4. The mean percentage significance difference between Fermipan active
 99 dry yeast and six others used by these Bakers could have been due to the yeast inability to retain
 100 and regain activity after a prolonged storage period, stability and consistency as a result of initial
 101 lower processing temperature or increase or reduction of water activity which usually lead to
 102 death or retardation of growth as reported by [http://www.lesaffreyeast.com/soY/bakers yeast.html](http://www.lesaffreyeast.com/soY/bakers%20yeast.html)
 103 (2004). All the Seven brands of yeast evaluated had high viability values when compared with
 104 the standard obtained by Campbell (1980) who reported that yeast cells meant for commercial
 105 use should attain percentage viability of 80% and above. Even though, the percentage viability of
 106 Fermipan active dry yeast was significant when compared with the six others, its problem for
 107 consideration for commercial usage would have become more significant and unsuitable if it had
 108 lower percentage viability as earlier reported by Campbell (1980). It is possible that the observed
 109 lower viability count of Fermipan yeast could have been attributed to differences in handling
 110 procedures, such as processing, packaging and environmental storage system. It therefore means
 111 that prudent processing of baker's yeast, such as adequate drying procedure, packaging, storage,
 112 transport and distribution to retailers and consumers should be intensified.

113 **Table 1: Viability of Different active Dry Yeast Brands**
 114

Brands of active Dry Yeasts	Cell Counts	No. of dead Cells	Percentage Viability
	<hr style="width: 100%;"/> a b		

ANGY	151-0	152-0	Nil	100
VAHY	101-0	107-0	Nil	100
SAFY	152-0	162-0	Nil	100
FOMY	112-0	114-0	Nil	100
FEMY	74-0	80-0	1	99.4
ROYA	108-0	109-0	Nil	100
PASY	112-0	99-0	Nil	100
Standard deviation (SD)				0.4

115

116 **Key:**

117 **ANGY**-Angel instant active dry yeast,

VAHY- Vahine active dry yeast

118 **SAFY**- Saf- instant active dry yeast

FOMY- Foodmont instant active dry yeast

119 **FEMY**- Fermipan active dry yeast

ROYA- STK-Royal active dry yeast

120 **PASY**- Pasha instant active dry yeast

121 Table 2 shows the result of the fermentation rate in bread yeast as pH changes with time at 26⁰C.

122 The steady decrease in pH values of all the different brands of yeast suspension observed in this

123 study (Table 2) indicated that the suspension became more acidic as fermentation proceeded.

124 This confirms similar falls in pH values in acidic food such as gruel-Kunu (pH 5.5 to PH 3.0) as

125 reported by Onuorah *et al.* (1983), beer (pH 4.5 to pH 4.0), and wine (pH 4.0 to pH 3.0) (Hough
 126 *et al.*, 1994). Yeast cells are regarded as single cell proteins (SCP) and for every enzyme; there is
 127 an optimal pH value at which the enzyme is most active as a catalyst. An increase or decrease in
 128 pH value away from the optimum value will cause a decrease in enzyme activity. According to
 129 Monica (1987), the stronger the acidic environment or suspension, the lower the pH. Brown and
 130 Booth (1991) stated that a decrease in pH is a sign that the sources of fermentable Carbohydrate
 131 in the food or system have been exhausted and that the metabolisms of nitrogenous compounds
 132 have started. The finding in this study confirmed this report because there was observed steady
 133 decrease in pH values in all the different brands of yeast as fermentation proceeded indicating
 134 concomitant increase in acidity due to microbial activities. Hydrogen ion (H⁺) concentration is
 135 therefore of considerable importance for all living organisms such as bread yeast because any
 136 small changes in pH values will be accompanied by marked changes in metabolic processes
 137 which could lead to economic loss in commercial spheres.

138
 139
 140
 141
 142

**Table 2: Fermentation rate of Yeasts Measured as pH Changed at Different Time (min)
 Interval at 26⁰C**

Bakers	0	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240
Yeasts									

ANGY	5.43	4.34	4.18	4.08	4.00	3.98	3.94	3.94	3.88
VAHY	5.68	5.35	5.13	5.08	5.03	5.00	4.95	4.90	4.88
SAFY	4.93	4.08	3.93	3.83	3.78	3.72	3.70	3.70	3.63
FOMY	4.68	4.33	4.05	4.03	4.00	3.88	3.78	3.65	3.60
FEMY	4.73	4.25	4.08	4.03	3.95	3.93	3.90	3.83	3.83
ROYA	4.53	4.20	4.00	3.95	3.80	3.73	3.65	3.60	3.58
PASY	5.23	4.93	4.73	4.65	4.53	4.50	4.40	4.33	4.28

143

144 **Key:**

145 **ANGY**-Angel instant active dry yeast,

146 **VAHY**- Vahine active dry yeast

147 **SAFY**- Saf- instant active dry yeast

148 **FOMY**- Foodmont instant active dry yeast

149 **FEMY**- Fermipan active dry yeast

150 **ROYA**- STK-Royal active dry yeast

151 **PASY**- Pasha instant active dry yeast

152

153 **Conclusions:**

154 Based on the percentage viability, the seven brands of yeast evaluated had high viability values
155 when compared with the standard and are therefore suitable for use in bread making. The
156 indicator of yeast activity is carbon-dioxide production coming from decomposition of
157 carbohydrate, the CO₂ output for valine active dry yeast and pasha instant active dry yeast were
158 too low when compared with the five other brands of yeast and therefore should be considered
159 for economic reasons. All baker's yeast samples tested were of good quality.

160 **REFERENCES**

161 A.O.A.C. (1980). Official Method of Analysis. William Horwitz, ed. 13th ed. Association of
162 Official Analytical Chemist. P.O Box 540, Benjamin franklin station, Washington
163 D.C. U.S.A. PP. 100-130.

164

165 Gèlinas, P (2009). Inventions on baker's yeast strains and specially ingredients. Recent Pat.
166 Food Nutrifion. Agric.1:104-132.

167

168 Lodder, J.; Sloaff, W. C. H. and Kreger. Van, R. I. (1956). The classification of yeast. In "The
169 chemistry and Biology of yeast". (A. H. Cook edit.). 1-57. Academic Press Inc.
170 Publishers, New York.

171

172 Loveday, S. M; Huang, V. T; Reid, D. S and Winger, R. G (2012). Water dynamics in fresh and
173 frozen yeasted dough. Crit. Rev. Food Science Nutrition, **52** (5):390-409.

174 Rose, A. H. and Harrison, J. S. (1969). "The Yeast." Academic Press Inc. London.

175 Yerushaml. L. and volsky, B. (1981). Experimental Bioenergetics of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
176 in Respiration and Fermentation. Biotech and Bioeng., **23** : 2373-2390"

177