SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_50761
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FOR JEBBA, KAINJI AND SHIRORO HYDRO POWER SCHEMES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Under the Abstract, no need to writeIn this paper	
	The Fig.4 is not discussed anywhere in the paper. It should be included.	
	Statistical analysis of the data should be included in the paper.	
	Author(s) should go through the guidelines of the journal for writing the paper.	
	 References are not written in a specified format. Author(s) should go through the guidelines of the journal for writing the references. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunil Kumar
Department, University & Country	Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)