A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF COPPER, ZINC AND ALUMINIUM AS ELECTRODES IN THE PREPARATION OF STARCH-BASED POLYELECTROLYTE

ABSTRACT

Alum (Al₂ (SO₄)₃. 18H₂O) has been the coagulant mostly used in water treatment process. Alum imported into the country gets stuck at the port because of the congestion of the port. When alum is used to coaqulate water, it depresses the pH of the water, thus, requiring a pH booster. Because of the high dependence on alum, alternative coagulants produced with local raw materials will be of great advantage. A starch-based polyelectrolyte was prepared by dispersing 3 g of cassava starch and 10 g of heaping teaspoon of high-test granular calcium hypochlorite (commercially available as HTH) in 100 ml distilled water; making it up to a litre solution with hot distilled water (temperature 80°C - 100°C) and electrolysing by means of stabilized power supply using aluminium rods as electrodes to produce polyelectrolyte A. Copper rods and zinc rods were similarly used to polyelectrolyte B and polyelectrolyte C respectively. To test the effect(s) and interaction of the charging variables, i.e. separating distance, power supply and time of charging, a three-factor experiment (2³ factorial design) was carried out. The variables were operated at High Level (+) and Low Level (-). The analysis indicate that the power of charging has the most significant effect on the coagulation characteristics of the starchbased polyelectrolyte. Polyelectrolytes A and C were tested with raw water drawn from Opa dam and were found to be effective water coagulants. Polyelectrolyte B on the other hand, adds to the turbidity of the raw water with which it was tested. Polyelectrolyte A was found to be as effective as Alum at natural water condition (pH 7) causing better than 88% turbidity removal with dosage of 65 mg/l.

Keywords: Comparative, Starch-based polyelectrolyte, alum, coagulant, surface water treatment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Water which is absolutely pure is not found in nature, every water vapour condensing in air contains solid and dissolved gases. As condensed water falls it sweeps up other material from the air and becomes contaminated on reaching the ground, running over the surface and percolating through the various strata of the soil [1]. These contaminants may be removed by passage through the soil as a result of filtration and exchange and adsorption reactions; some may be removed from the surface water by sedimentation and biological activity; others may be removed by specific engineered process in water treatment plants. New impurities are introduced by dissolution and exchange reactions in the soil, others by accumulation of decomposition and reactions with the materials of the distribution system [2]. In order to provide a potable water supply, raw water from the source has to be treated. The source may be a river, reservoir, well or natural lake. Each of these sources have their own peculiar impurities and means of removing

them. A large portion of the suspended particles in water are sufficiently small that their removal in the sedimentation tank is impossible at reasonable surface overflow rate [3]. Coagulation is also called chemically assisted sedimentation; it is the process of causing the finely divided particles in water to cluster together and form larger particles (flocs) and later settle before passing the water to the filter [4]. The four major mechanisms of coagulation are double-layer compression, adsorption and charge neutralisation, enmeshment in a precipitate and adsorption by polymers, and inter-particle bridging. The understanding of the four processes is necessary for understanding the phenomena of coagulation [5]. The coagulation unit is essential in removal of impurities and alum (aluminium sulphate) is the most common coagulating agent. There is a need for a local substitute due to the rapid increase in the number of water treatment plant and the constantly increasing cost of alum. Cationic starches have long been used to flocculate negatively charged particles from aqueous suspension. Safi et al. [6] reported the preparation of 2-aminoethyl ether derivatives of starch which readily flocculated aqueous suspensions of negative colloids. Salim et al.[7] reported the use of high molecular weight polyamines, polyalkylamines and polyacrylonitriles as flocculating materials. Cationic quaternary ammonium starch ether which was found to be an excellent flocculant for materials in aqueous system [8]. Though these starches are effective flocculating agents for aqueous suspension of negatively charged inorganic and organic particle. Their precise modes of operation were not precisely understood. Sirin [9] was able to give a simple explanation, the simple ionic attraction between the product formed and the colloidal particles leading to the production flocculants - particle aggregates large enough to settle from suspension; the polyelectrolyte, according to his discovery also form bridge structure that leads to precipitation. Tenney et al. [10] explained that many of the suspended particles in raw water are too small to settle out by themselves in a separation by sedimentation process. The benefit of adding of polymer is derived from the agglomeration of these individual particles into larger clumps or flocs allowing them to settle and be removed. The list of synthetic polymers which have been successfully applied to treatment of water.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50 51

52

53

54

55 56

57

58

59

60

61 62

63

64

65

66

67

68

Locally purchased cassava was peeled and washed. They were then crushed, with a cassava grater machine, then oaked for some time in water after which they were sieved. The filtrate was allowed to settle for some hours and the settled starch was separated from the water by careful decantation of the top water. The residue was then air dried, grounded and stored in a desiccator to prevent the adsorption of water vapour from the atmosphere. The material obtained from this process was then used in the preparation of the starch-based polyelectrolyte.

2.1. Preparation of Starch-based Polyelectrolyte

Tablets of calcium hypochlorite (Ca(OCl)₂), commercially available as HTH, were ground and stored in a desiccator [11]. Three gram of starch and 10 g of hypochlorite were made into a well-mixed slurry with 100 ml distilled water in a one-litre beaker. Hot distilled water (70°C – 100°C) was added to the slurry, making it up to a litre solution with continuous stirring. The resulting solution was electrolysed on a stabilized power supply with aluminium rods, copper rods and zinc rods as electrodes one after the other, to produce polyelectrolytes A, B and C respectively. The solution was gently stirred in each case throughout the process of charging. The variables i.e. power, P, separating distance, D and time of charging, T; were tested at the high level (+) and low level (-) for each of the electrodes to generate a 2³ factorial runs for each of the electrodes (Tables 1 and 2).

Table 1: Electrolysing Variables Values at High and Low Levels

Variable	High Level (+)	Low Level (-)
Validade	riigii Lovei (1)	Low Level ()
Power product of voltage and current (watts)	400	100
Separating distance (mm)	150	50
Time of charging (min)	60	30

Table 2: Factorial Design Table

Run	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Power, P (Watts)	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+

Comment [H1]: Please describe the grater

Separating Distance, D (mm)	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+
Time, T (mins)	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+

2.2. Coagulation of Water Samples

Raw water from the university dam, Opa Dam, was collected and used in testing the effectiveness of the starch-based polyelectrolyte as a coagulant under neutral condition i.e. natural water, pH 7.

Treatment in each case consisted of dosing varying amount of the prepared polyelectrolyte into the water, mixing rapidly for 60 seconds and then slowly for 10 minutes in a jar test stirrer. The water was then allowed to settle for 30 minutes. Coagulation effectiveness was determined by measuring turbidity of the

water sample before and after treatment using a Hach's Model 2100A turbidimeter.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preliminary coagulation test carried out with the starch-based polyelectrolyte as coagulant revealed the following: the ratio of hypochlorite to starch must not be less than 3; the polyelectrolyte can be stored at room temperature for more than 12 days without materially losing its effectiveness as a coagulant; a better turbidity removal can be achieved if the settling time is increased; and when water at natural pH and temperature was used to make the slurry to a litre solution without stirring, the turbidity removal is relatively low compared with when hot distilled water is used with continuous agitation [12].

3.1. Comparing the Effectiveness of the Polyelectrolytes

Varying amounts, in millilitres, of the polyelectrolytes were used to treat litres of raw water sample (turbidity, 28 NTU). Table 3 shows the conversion from millilitre to milligrams per litre; the conversion is on the basis that 1 mg/l of the solution prepared as indicated in the preceding contain 3 mg/l of starch and 10 mg/l of hypochlorite and that when 1 ml is dosed into a litre of water sample, the dosage is 3 mg/l expressed in term of combination of hypochlorite and starch (i.e. in terms of polyelectrolytes itself).

Table 3: Expression of Polyelectrolyte (ml) in terms of Dosage (mg/l)

		Polyelectrolyte expres	sed as
Volume of coagulant per litre			
	Starch (mg/l)	Hypochlorite (mg/l)	Polyelectrolyte (mg/l)
(ml)			
0	0	0	0
2.5	7.5	25.0	32.5
5.0	15.0	50.0	65.0
7.5	22.5	75.0	97.5
10.0	30.0	100.0	130.0
12.5	62.5	125.0	187.5
15.0	75.0	150.0	225.0

Table 4 shows the relative performance of varying dosages of polyelectrolytes A, B and C under neutral condition. The performance of polyelectrolyte A is generally better than those of B and C at neutral pH. Polyelectrolyte B on the other hand, increases the turbidity of the water with which it was tested. The percentage turbidity removals were computed based on Table 4 for polyelectrolytes A, B and C for each experimental run. Table 5 shows that polyelectrolyte A at pH 7 performs generally better than polyelectrolytes B and C.

Table 4: Relative effects of varying dosages of polyelectrolytes A, B and C on turbidity removal and pH of treated water samples

Dosage	Polyelectrolyte C	Polyelectrolyte B	Polyelectrolyte A

(mg/l)	Final turbidity	Final pH	Final turbidity	Final pH	Final turbidity	Final pH
	(NTU)		(NTU)		(NTU)	
0						
15	13.2	7.8	42.2	7.6	6.2	7.7
32.5	11.6	7.7	43.4	7.6	4.8	7.7
65	9.8	7.6	44.0	7.5	3.8	7.5
97.5	10.0	7.4	44.0	7.4	4.2	7.4
130	12.4	7.3	44.7	7.4	5.6	7.4

Table 5: Summary of Percentage Turbidity Removal of Polyelectrolytes A, B and C

Dosage (mg/l)	Р	ercentage Turbidity Ren	noval
	Polyelectrolyte A	Polyelectrolyte B	Polyelectrolyte C
130	63.52	-18.26	45.48
97.5	70.39	-17.8	50.15
65	74.8	-16.44	52.68
32.5	70.48	-14.46	49.08
15	59.75	-12.95	43.84

3.2. Main Effect and Interaction of Charging Variables by Factorial Analysis

The main and interaction effect(s) were used to determine the level of influence the factors had on one another and how the influence was occurring (Uduman *et al.*, 2010). Table 6 shows the summary of this

Table 5: Summary of main effect and interaction effect of the charging variables

Effect	Polyelectrolyte A	Polyelectrolyte B	Polyelectrolyte C
Mean	74.75	27.98	52.63
Р	19.0	-21.07	17.25
D	-0.5	8.43	1.25
PD	0	2.17	-1.75
Т	1.0	5.97	1.25
PT	-3.5	-3.68	4.25
DT	-7.0	1.98	-8.75
PDT	2.5	-12.03	-0.75

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results, the following conclusions could be drawn: when 3 g of cassava starch and 10 g of calcium hypochlorite are dispersed in hot distilled water and charged, the resulting product, starch-based polyelectrolyte, is effective in coagulating raw water. A dosage of 65 mg/l of polyelectrolytes A and C result in 88% and 69% turbidity removal respectively. The same dosage of polyelectrolyte results in 7.5% turbidity addition.

Polyelectrolyte A performs generally as well as alum but does not depress the pH as alum does. This means that the starch-based polyelectrolyte needs little or no lime to aid it in the reduction of potable water at the neutral pH range. The solution is stable at room temperature it neither spoils nor loses its coagulation effectiveness for at least 12 days. Power supplied during the charging process has the most significant effect on the coagulation characteristics of the starch-based polyelectrolyte while the highest level of interaction effect is between separating distance and time of charging.

REFERENCES

163

167

174

178

179 180

181 182

183

184

185

186 187

188

193

194 195

196 197

198

199 200

201

202

203

204 205

206

207

- [1] Gerde J. A., Yao, L. X., Lio, J. Y., Wen, Z. Y. & Wang, T. (2014). Microalgae flocculation:
 Impact of flocculant type, algae species and cell concentration. *Algal Research-Biomass Biofuels and Bioproducts* 3, 30-35.
- [2] Ellis, A.E., Stanley, I.U., Abiola, O. and Ogedengbe, M.O. (1981). "Preparation of cationic
 starches as flocculants for water." Water Resources. Vol. 16, pp. 1433 1435.
- 170
 171 [3] Granados, M. R., Acien, F. G., Gomez, C., Fernandez-Sevilla, J. M. & Grima, E. M. (2012).
 172 Evaluation of flocculants for the recovery of freshwater microalgae. *Bioresource Technology*173 118, 102-110.
- [4] Lee, A. K., Lewis, D. M. & Ashman, P. J. (2014). Microbial flocculation, a potentially low-cost harvesting technique for marine microalgae for the production of biodiesel. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 21, 559-567.
 - [5] Rashid N., Rehman, S. U. & Han, J. I. (2013). Rapid harvesting of freshwater microalgae using chitosan. Process Biochemistry 48, 1107-1110.
 - [6] Safi C., Zebib, B., Merah, O., Pontalier, P. Y. & Vaca-Garcia, C. (2014). Morphology, composition, production, processing and applications of *Chlorella vulgaris*: A review. Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews 35, 265-278.
 - [7] Salim S., Bosma, R., Vermue, M. H. & Wijffels, R. H. (2011). Harvesting of microalgae by bioflocculation. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 23, 849-855.
- [8] Sanchez J. F., Fernandez, J. M., Acien, F. G., Rueda, A., Perez-Parra, J. & Molina, E. (2008).
 Influence of culture conditions on the productivity and lutein content of the new strain
 Scenedesmus almeriensis. Process Biochemistry 43, 398-405.
 - [9] Sirin, S. (2012). Harvesting the microalgae Phaeodactylum tricornutum with polyaluminum chloride, aluminium sulphate, chitosan and alkalinityinduced flocculation. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 24, 1067-1080.
 - [10] Tenney M. W., Echelber.Wf, Schuessl.Rg & Pavoni, J. L. (1969). Algal flocculation with synthetic organic polyelectrolytes. Applied Microbiology 18, 965-&.
 - [11] Uduman N., Bourniquel, V., Danquah, M. K. & Hoadley, A. F. A. (2011). A parametric study of electrocoagulation as a recovery process of marine microalgae for biodiesel production. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 174, 249-257.
 - [12] Vandamme D., Foubert, I., Fraeye, I., Meesschaert, B. & Muylaert, K. (2012). Flocculation of *Chlorella vulgaris* induced by high pH: Role of magnesium and calcium and practical implications. *Bioresource Technology* 105, 114-119.