



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Archives of Current Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ACRI_50812 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF COPPER, ZINC AND ALUMINIUM AS ELECTRODES IN THE PREPARATION OF STARCH-BASED POLYELECTROLYTE |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | The manuscript entitled "A comparative study of copper, zinc and aluminium as electrodes in the preparation of starch-based polyelectrolyte" presents the results of coagulation effectiveness of starch-based polyelectrolyte. The work is correctly done and provides some interesting results. However the manuscript should be written more clearly. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Bogumil E. Brycki |
| Department, University & Country | Adam Mickiewicz University, Poland |