



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_51184
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Jigsaw-Puzzle and Graphic Organizer Instructional Strategies on Biology Students' Performance in Abia State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aims and objectives of the study are redundant with that of the statement of the problem. Advised to choose either aims or statement of the objectives. 2. Structure of Jigsaw puzzle (Architecture) as well as the graphic organizer for easier visualization of the approach 3. Additional review of related literature 4. Additional method or different approach in identifying the effectiveness of two approaches 5. try applying some algorithms for a more reliable result 6. Improve the conclusion 7. Proper format in references 8. Improve the discussion of methodology 9. How about the profile of students? Does it have to do with the results of their pre-test and post test? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What do you mean by this: "Senior Secondary one (SS1) Biology students participated in the study." 	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Sheila Abaya</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of the East, Philippines</i>