

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_51001
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION OF PEDA PATIN JAMBAL WITH VARIOUS SALT CONCENTRATIONAS ENVIRONMENT CONTROL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if ag highlight that part in the n his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	 Author(s) should enhance the research by exploring more current literatures to increase the volume of the Introduction and spice up the Abstract. Several Authors cited were not REFERENCED, e.g., Rukmiasih et al., 2015; Siswanto et al., 2017, Wijatur 2007; Mardi et al., 2013; Soekarto, 1985; Maisyaroh et al., 2018, etc. Confer Ref. page for more. Authors not CITED in the text, e.g., No. 7. Kailasapathy and Tamang. 2010. The literatures cited in the text were not numbered and not in square bracket []. Such numbering in square bracket, e.g., [1], [12, 5, 17], etc., should be reflected in the Reference page(s) according to the format of this Journal. For clarity separate RESULTS from DISCUSSION The INITIAL(S) of this Author N0, 6. Juharni 2013 should be provided/supplied. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	 Tables 1 to 4 can be combined. Since they are concerned with the organoleptic attributes. The research appears scanty and not very novel. Boost the work by exploring recent literatures. Re-visit the work to restructure and correct some grammatical errors. Consult the manuscript. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

L	
agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and	
manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write	

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her





SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lawrence O. Amadi
Department, University & Country	Rivers State University, Nigeria