



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_50702
Title of the Manuscript:	Physician Burnout and Associated Factors: Orthopaedics vsAnesthesia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Corrections have been done in the Manuscript. Citation number should be put before the pull stop throughout this article. Don't use we/ our like words with in the reserch article. Conclusion should be mentioned as separately should be written. Table setting has been done. Future suggestion, ideas and limitation of his study</p> <p>Grammar should be re-checked throughout the article.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	References are not enough. More than 50 references are important to maintain the standard of the research paper. If possible. References should be increased.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Vinotha Sanmugarajah</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka</i>