

1
2 **Effects of Jigsaw-Puzzle Instructional Strategy on Secondary School Students’**
3 **Performance on Growth as a concept in Biology in Abia State**
4

5
6 **Abstract**

7 The study examined the effects of jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy on secondary school
8 students’ performance on Growth as a concept in Biology in Ukwu West Local Government
9 Area, Abia State. Gender effect on Biology students’ performance was also investigated. Two
10 objectives, two research questions and two null hypotheses guided the study. A quasi-
11 experimental design was adopted in this study. One hundred and fifteen (115) Biology students
12 from two mixed secondary schools randomly selected formed the sample size. Biology
13 Performance Test on Growth (BPTOG) which contained twenty (20) multiple-choice questions
14 was used for gathering data. Test re-test method was to test the instrument. The BPTOG was
15 validated by two experts in the Department of Curriculum Studies and Educational Technology,
16 University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Reliability index of 0.76 was obtained using Pearson’s
17 Product Moment Correlation Coefficient. Mean and Standard deviation was used in answering
18 the research questions and Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) was used to test the hypotheses
19 at 0.05 level of significance. The findings of the study showed that there was a significant
20 difference between the academic performance of students in the experimental group and those in
21 control. More so, gender has no effect on Biology students’ performance in the jigsaw-puzzle
22 group. It was recommended that Biology teachers should adopt Jigsaw-Puzzle Instructional
23 Strategy in this 21st-century classroom to encourage group learning among students.
24

25 **Keywords: Jigsaw-Puzzle, Instructional Strategy, Growth.**
26

27 **Introduction**

28 Biology is one of the three main science subjects taught and learnt at the senior secondary
29 school level in Nigeria educational system. Biology has to do with the study of living things,
30 their characteristics and interactions with the environment (Michael, 2017). Biology plays an
31 important role especially in the explanation of complex life processes, structures, functions,
32 origin, evolution and distribution of living organisms. The knowledge of Biology is needed for
33 national development and global competitiveness in the area of Medicine, Agriculture and
34 Physical and Health Education, especially in sports and environmental studies among others. The
35 subject is designed to empower students with basic knowledge about the functioning of their

Comment [U1]: Two hypotheses

36 body system, inter-relationship between them and other living things and environmental
37 sustainability. The Unified Tertiary Matriculation Examination (UTME) requirement for
38 admission of students into tertiary institutions in Nigeria is a credit and above in Biology in the
39 Senior Secondary School Certificate Examination (SSSCE) in addition to English Language,
40 Mathematics and two other subjects.

41 In the Biology Curriculum document of Nigerian Educational Research and Development
42 Council (NERDC) (FRN, 2014), it was stipulated that Biology should be taught in a way that
43 will help students acquire adequate and relevant laboratory skills and management in the subject.
44 In the National Policy on Education (NPE, 2014), Biology comes first under the theme: “Fields
45 of Studies”: in a sub-titled theme: “Science and Mathematics”, followed by Chemistry, Physics,
46 Further Mathematics, Health Education, Agriculture, Physical Education and Computer Studies.
47 The learning of Biology contents should be through field studies, guided-discovery, laboratory
48 techniques and skills coupled with conceptual skills. This requires a Biology teacher to have
49 adequate pedagogical knowledge to facilitate the proper transmission of quality information.

50 A major concern in science teacher education is the development of teachers’ pedagogical
51 content knowledge for improving classroom practice and students learning. Preliminary
52 observation has proved that Biology teachers use the conventional method to teach most of the
53 topics in Biology, giving large notes and assignments meant to keep the learners busy without
54 positive impact on their cognition and affection.

55 Education can only be functional if the knowledge acquired is applied to solve problems.
56 In recent time, education has been given top priority in the affairs of many nations, since the
57 desire of man over the years has been knowledge acquisition. The attraction which education
58 enjoys stems from the fact that it equips one for life and enables an individual to master his or

59 her environment and acquire the necessary tools for living a worthwhile life. The aspect of
60 education that involves the teaching of scientific principles and generalizations to the individuals
61 of the society which aims at making them become scientifically literate citizens is referred to as
62 Science Education. Science Education is strongly rooted in sciences. Scientific literacy is the
63 ability to make well-informed decisions and choices based on the possession of a basic core and
64 cognitive awareness of scientific facts, ideas, realities, principles and theories as well as the
65 possession of fundamental technical skills in Science (Akuba, 2016). This implies that the
66 general goal of science education is to develop scientifically literate citizens with the necessary
67 intellectual resources, values, attitudes and inquiry skills to promote the development of man as a
68 rational human being capable of critical thinking. The future of any nation in terms of Science
69 and Technology depends ultimately on the performance of students in science subjects taught at
70 the secondary school level to help students continue in science-related courses at the higher
71 institution. Science and Technology is the pivot upon which national development rotate. Based
72 on this, the aim of Science Education is achievable if quality attention is given to the instruction
73 of students, especially at the secondary school level. Teaching of science subjects generally and
74 Biology in particular at the secondary school level calls for rapt attention if the objectives of
75 science education can be achieved.

76 Instructional strategy for easy implementation of Biology curriculum which embraces
77 affective domain could be Jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy. Kelly (2016) defined Jigsaw-
78 puzzle as a type of cooperative learning which improves social interactions in learning and
79 supports diversity. The Jigsaw-puzzle is comparable to the workplace where each individual is
80 expected to play a role to achieve a common goal. It is a strategy that leaves students as experts
81 and receivers of knowledge. In a Jigsaw-puzzle, a teacher divides learning experience into stages

82 or sections. The teacher distributes the sub-topics to individuals in a group. Students from
83 different groups who have the same topic meet together to have a between groups discussion on
84 the sub-topic allotted for individuals to research their assigned area. Jigsaw-puzzle is one of the
85 strategies that teach peaceful coexistence, human worth and the development of critical thinking
86 and hard work. The strategy could be effective in learning concept such as growth in Biology.

87 There is, therefore, the need to use teaching strategies that encourage gender equality,
88 positive interdependence and group work so as to motivate the students for higher academic
89 performance. This strategy encourages male and female participation in group work and in the
90 search for knowledge. The use of Jigsaw-puzzle for teaching allows individualization of learning
91 and encourages students to seek out the content they like. Students interact in a traditional
92 classroom with their colleagues in order to learn new lessons. There is, therefore, a wide gap to
93 be filled which the use of active interactive strategy can take care of. Based on the above, the
94 study focused on the effect of Jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy on secondary Biology school
95 students' performance on growth in Ukwa West Local Government Area, Abia State.

96 **Statement of the Problem**

97 The underperformance of Biology students in West African Senior School Certificate
98 Examination in Nigeria has become a worrisome situation that calls for urgent attention. Chief
99 examiners' report on West African Senior School Certificate Examination 2017 showed that the
100 performance of Biology students in 2017 was slightly poorer with a raw mean score of 31 and
101 standard deviation of 11.92 when compared with the raw mean of score of 31 and the standard
102 deviation of 10.91 of WASSCE 2016. The West African Examination Council (WAEC) Chief
103 Examiner's Report 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 noted that students' performance in
104 Biology as seen on table 1 is very poor.

105 Table1: Performance of Students in Biology at SSCE level from 2013 to 2018

106

Year	No of students present	No of students pass	No of students fail	% pass	% fail
2013	182659	39125	143534	21	79
2014	228953	80355	148598	35	65
2015	250099	86150	163949	34	66
2016	289520	84520	205000	29	71
2017	326541	98215	228326	30	70
2018	367562	120560	247002	33	67

107 **Source: WAEC Office (2018).**

108

109

110 Students' poor academic performance to some extent is traceable to inappropriate
 111 instructional strategies used by the teachers. This concern in mind and the fact that the world is
 112 changing towards the campaign of a knowledge-based economy, teachers are expected to use
 113 interactive teaching strategies that can help students develop the capacity for world
 114 competitiveness among the committee of nations.

Comment [U2]: Reflect the problem you want to solve in the last paragraph.

114 **Aim and Objectives of the Study**

115 The aim of the study was to determine the effect of Jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy on
 116 secondary school Biology students' performance on Growth in Ukwa West Local Government
 117 Area, Abia State. Specifically, the objectives of the study were to:

- 118 1. Find out the academic performance of Biology students taught Growth using jigsaw-
 119 puzzle instructional strategy and Lecture Method.
- 120 2. Compare the academic performance of male and female students taught Growth using
 121 jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy.

122

123 **Research Questions**

- 124
125 1. What is the academic performance of Biology students taught growth using jigsaw-
126 puzzle instructional strategy and Lecture Method?
127 2. What is the academic performance of male and female students taught growth using
128 jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy?

Comment [U3]: Is there a difference in the academic performance of Biology ...

Comment [U4]: Is there a difference in the academic performance..

129
130 **Hypotheses**

131 The hypotheses were formulated and tested at 0.05 level of significance.

Comment [U5]: Delete

132 **Ho₁:** There is no significant difference in the academic performance of students taught growth
133 using Jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy and Lecture Method.

134 **Ho₂:** There is no significant difference in the academic performance of male and female
135 students taught growth using Jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy.
136

137
138
139
140
141
142 **Methodology**

143
144 The study adopted a quasi-experimental design. Two groups (experimental and control)
145 from two mixed schools were used. 115 Senior Secondary one (SS1) Biology students
146 participated in the study. Biology Performance Test on Growth (BPTOG) containing 20 objective
147 questions was used to gather data after thorough validation with a reliability index of 0.76 using
148 Pearson Product Moment Correlation by two experts in Department of Curriculum Studies and
149 Educational Technology, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. The experimental group was
150 taught using Jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy while the control group was taught using the

Comment [U6]: Indicate the population of study

Comment [U7]: Indicate the number of copies of instrumrnt used for reliability and the type of reliability employed. Since it is an achievement test, I sugust you use the Kuder Richardson 21

151 lecture method. Data obtained were analyzed using mean and standard deviation to answer the
152 research questions while ANCOVA was used to test the hypotheses at 0.05 level of significance.

153
154 **Results**

155 The results are presented in the following tables

156 **Research Question 1:** What is the academic performance of Biology students taught Growth
157 using jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy and Lecture Method?

158 **Table 2: Mean and Standard deviation of pre-test and post-test performance of students**
159 **using Jigsaw-puzzle and Lecture Method.**

Group	n	Pre-test	SD	Post-test	SD	Mean Gain
JP	51	30.52	3.49	54.80	6.57	24.28
LM	53	32.30	4.52	36.90	5.60	4.6

Comment [U8]: Mean difference

Comment [U9]: Use APA format

160
161 From table 2, it was observed that students taught growth using Jigsaw-puzzle had a
162 score of 30.52 prior to treatment administration, while after treatment their post-test score was
163 54.80. For students in the control group who were taught using the lecture method, their pre-test
164 mean performance was 32.30, while their post-test mean performance was 36.90. This result
165 revealed that the mean difference for students in the experimental group was 24.28, while for the
166 control group was 4.6. These results suggested that Jigsaw-puzzle has a greater effect on
167 students' performance in growth than the lecture method.

168 **Research Question 2:** What is the academic performance of male and female students taught
169 growth using jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy?

170 **Table 3: Mean And Standard Deviation of Pre-Test and Post-Test Performance of Male**
171 **and Female Students using Jigsaw-Puzzle**

Group	n	Gender	Pretest	SD	Posttest	SD	Mean Gain
JP	24	Male	28.62	3.03	56.22	6.01	27.60
	27	Female	33.12	3.88	52.90	7.33	19.78

172
173 From the result in Table 3, it was observed that male students taught using the Jigsaw-
174 Puzzle had pretest and posttest mean performance of 28.62 and 56.22 respectively, resulting in a
175 mean difference of 27.60, while female students taught with the same strategy had pretest and
176 posttest mean performance of 33.12 and 52.90 respectively which implies a mean difference of
177 19.78. Jigsaw-puzzle had a greater effect on the performance of male students in growth than
178 female students

179
180 **Hypothesis 1:** There is no significant difference in the academic performance of students taught
181 using Jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy and lecture method?

182 **Table 4 Analysis of covariance of performance of students taught growth using Jigsaw-**
183 **puzzle and lecture method**

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	1502.556 ^a	2	751.28	20.38	.000
Intercept	2212.39	1	2212.39	60.02	.000
PreP	120.68	1	120.68	3.27	.073
Group	1444.24	1	1444.24	39.18	.000
Error	3870.21	101	36.86		
Total	42521.00	104			
Corrected Total	5372.76	103			

184

185 The result in Table 4 revealed that the F-value of 39.18 obtained at 1 and 101 degrees of
186 freedom had an associated p-value of .000, which is less than the chosen alpha of 0.05, it can be
187 stated that Jigsaw-puzzle had a significant effect on the mean performance of students in growth
188 as a concept in Biology than the lecture method. The null hypothesis was therefore rejected.

189
190 **H₀₂:** There is no significant difference in the academic performance of male and female students
191 taught growth using Jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy.

192 **Table 5: Analysis of Covariance of Performance of male and female students taught growth**
193 **using Jigsaw-Puzzle.**

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	99.649 ^a	2	24.91	.518	.723
Intercept	2493.33	1	2493.34	51.86	.000
Pre-testP	38.74	1	38.72	.81	.372
Group	22.32	1	22.32	.46	.497
Gender	4.68	1	4.67	.09	.756
Group * Gender	39.22	1	39.22	.82	.368
Error	5144.27	48	48.08		
Total	57145.00	51			
Corrected Total	5243.92	49			

194
195 Table 5 showed the Summary of ANCOVA on the performance of male and female
196 students taught growth using Jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy. There was no significant
197 difference between the performance of male and female students taught growth using Jigsaw-
198 puzzle instructional strategy ($P=.368 > 0.05$). The null hypothesis was accepted at 0.05
199 significance level.

200
201 **Discussion of Finding**

202 From table 2, results suggested that Jigsaw-puzzle has a greater effect on students'
203 performance in growth than the lecture method considering the mean differences. The result in
204 table 4 revealed that the F-value of 39.18 obtained at 1 and 101 degrees of freedom had an
205 associated p-value of .000, which is less than the chosen alpha of 0.05, it can be stated that
206 Jigsaw-puzzle had a significant effect on the mean performance of students in growth as a
207 concept in Biology. The null hypothesis was therefore rejected.

208 This result is in agreement with the findings of Ugwu (2015) who showed that SS1
209 Chemistry students taught using Jigsaw-puzzle recorded high academic performance in the mean
210 scores than those taught using the conventional method. Jigsaw-puzzle gave students the
211 opportunity to learn by constructing their own knowledge. Also, the result of Ayodele (2015)
212 agreed that students achieved better and retained more knowledge when taught with Jigsaw-
213 puzzle than demonstration method.

214 From the result in table 3, it was observed that Jigsaw-puzzle had a greater effect on the
215 performance of male students in growth than female students. Table 5 showed the Summary of
216 ANCOVA on the performance of male and female students taught Growth using Jigsaw-puzzle
217 instructional strategy. There was no significant difference between the performance of male and
218 female students taught Growth using Jigsaw-puzzle instructional strategy ($P=.368 > 0.05$). The
219 null hypothesis was accepted at 0.05 significance level. This finding is in agreement with the
220 study of Callinton (2016) who found out that being a male or female does not affect students'
221 academic performance when taught using the same teaching method. However, the present
222 finding contradicts the earlier findings of Bello (2016) who found out that gender has a
223 significant effect on students' academic performance when taught using the same interactive
224 teaching strategy.

Comment [U10]: You are repeating what you have written before. You may recast as the result in Table 4 show that Jigsaw-puzzle had a significant effect on the mean performance of students in growth as a concept in Biology

Comment [U11]: Recast

225
226
227

Conclusion

228 Based on the findings of the study, it was concluded that the use of Jigsaw-puzzle
229 instructional strategy in teaching has a significant influence on the senior secondary students'
230 academic performance in Growth (Biology). Also, Jigsaw-puzzle had a greater effect on the
231 performance of male students in growth than female students. This provided empirical evidence
232 on the relative efficacy of interactive and learner-centred strategy in enhancing the teaching and
233 learning outcomes in Biology.

234
235

Recommendations

236 Based on the finding, it was recommended that:

- 237 1. Biology teachers should adopt Jigsaw-puzzle Instructional Strategy in this 21st-century
238 classroom to encourage group learning among students.
- 239 2. Biology teachers should be retrained to improve on these interactive strategies. This can
240 be done through seminars, conferences, workshops organized by both government and
241 non-government agencies.

242

References

- 243 Akuba, N.J. (2016). The level of performance on ecological concepts among Nigeria final year
244 secondary school students. *Journal of Science Education*, 32 (12), 5 1-58.
- 245
246 Ayodele, B.N (2015). Relative Effectiveness of Jigsaw Instructional and Demonstration
247 Strategies on students' performance in practical Chemistry among secondary school
248 students in Osun State. *Journal of Science and Technical Education*, 32 (12), 5 1-58.
- 249
250 Bello, F.O. (2016). Effect of Jigsaw Cooperative Learning on Biology students' Performance and
251 interest level in Photosynthesis in Secondary Schools in Owerri metropolis, Imo State.
252 *International Journal of Research in Education*, 4(2), 27-41
- 253
254 Callinton, A.O (2016). Gender Balancing in Science & Mathematics Education (SME): A
255 panacea for nation building. *Journal of Science Education*, 3(1&2), 198-203.

256
257 Federal Republic of Nigeria; (2014). National policy on Education Lagos, 6th Edition, NERDC
258 Press.
259
260 Kelly, M.U. (2016). Impact of Jigsaw Strategy in teaching Sciences: A need for paradigm
261 shift in pedagogy. *Journal of Science and Technical Education*, 1 (2) 92-101.
262
263 Michael, M.C. (2017). Essential Biology for Senior Secondary Schools, TONAD Publishers
264 Limited, Lagos, Nigeria
265
266 Nigeria Educational Research and Development Council (NERDC) (2014). Biology Curriculum
267 for Senior Secondary Schools 16-17. Sheda: Abuja-Nigeria. NERDC Press.
268
269 Ugwu, S.H (2015).Impact of Jigsaw-puzzle on Chemistry students' Performance in Abia State
270 Nigeria. *Journal of Science and Technical Education*, 4(1), 112-125
271
272 West African Senior School Certificate Examination Council (2017). For School Certificates.
273 Chief Examiners' Report. Biology 2 (essay).
274
275
276