SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_51104
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of physiochemical parameters of ground water: A case study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The result should be analyse using descriptive statistics not t-Test of ANOVA, because :- ANOVA- compares the mean between the groups to determine whether any of those means are statistically significantly different from each other. While t-Test compare if there is a significant variation between means of groups. If you monitored the parameters for dry and wet seasons, then you use t-Test.	
Minor REVISION comments	See text and affect the corrections in the highlighted areas.	
Optional/General comments	Introduction ➤ Background information provided is relevant, ➤ sources of information were adequately acknowledged and ➤ objectives provided is relevant methodology The source of data generation is relevant and the techniques adopted is recent and appropriate	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Garba Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Sule Lamido University Kafin Hausa, Jigawa State, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)