



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMPCP_50638</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Therapeutic Effects of Viscum album Combined with Zingiber officinale against CCL4 induced Liver Injury in Albino Rats</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original research</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The specific extract used has to be clearly defined; there are some contradictions (Zinger or Garcinia extract) in the manuscript.</li> <li>2. Individual effects of the extract used should be presented or stated in the manuscript to sustain the argument about the combination effects of the extracts in managing CCL4 induced liver injury.</li> <li>3. Phytochemical analysis of the individual plant should be part of the result, to serve as back up evidence for the research.</li> <li>4. Line 127-130 should be reconstructed.</li> <li>5. Table 1: analysis should be scientifically presented using superscripts with reference to control and other groups, for clarity.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Elementary writing errors; See attached file</li> <li>2. Rationale background that compelled combination of plant extract should be summarily provided in the abstract section.</li> <li>3. Line 167-173 should be part of the result section, while discussion should be done with reference to previous works.</li> <li>4. Section Numbering on line 125 is not correct</li> <li>5. More discussion on the effect of plant extracts on cellular recovery.</li> <li>6. Line 117-119 does not reflect any histology procedure; add a brief.</li> <li>7. Line 131, what parameter is compared.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article "Therapeutic effects of <i>Viscum album</i> combined with <i>Zingiber officinale</i> against CCL <sub>4</sub> induced liver injury in Albino Rats" is of interest to general audience. The experiment represent contribution to knowledge on the synergistic effects of two plant extracts (Ginger and Mistletoe) on CCL <sub>4</sub> induced liver injury	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nosarieme Omoregie Abey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Lagos, Nigeria</b>