

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics .
Γ	Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_51301
	Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF INFORMATION COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY (ICT) IN THE ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF UNIVERSI STUDENTS
ſ	Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the write his/her feedback h
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work done is interesting, well presented, and in general, presents a good originality and proposal both methodological and practical. However, I believe that there are some aspects that should be resolved before they	
	 can be published: The introduction includes quite a few references to related work that should be moved, in an orderly and effective way, to section 2, which suffers from a complete systematic review of solutions similar to the one proposed by the authors. In general, I believe that this systematic review of other similar proposals should also be used as a common thread in the evaluation, comparing the results in specific scenarios obtained by the authors' proposal and others existing in the market and in the more academic world. The evaluation should include a much more detailed description of the sample to be studied, with its descriptive characteristics. It would include a discussion section on the threats to the validation carried out (internal, external threats, etc.) in order to increase the validity of this section. 	
Minor REVISION comments	- The conclusions are too brief. I think the authors can improve this part.	
Optional/General comments		

SITY OF BENIN POST GRADUATE

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and he manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should k here)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David Lizcano
Department, University & Country	School of Computer Science, Madrid Open University, Spain

l with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her