



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_50591
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing PIGPEN Image Steganography Method by using Zigzag scanning
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>First of all I strongly suggest to the reviewer to add the following reference in the introduction part as the below paper talks about steganography based method and the paper is the latest one.</p> <p>Nanotechnology and cryptographic protocols: issues and possible solutions, In Nanomaterials and Energy, Volume 8, Issue 1, June 2019</p> <p>DOI: https://doi.org/10.1680/jnaen.18.00006</p> <p>I would like to see the changes once the paper is duly revised.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Revise the paper.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Varun Shukla
Department, University & Country	Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam Technical University, India