



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCOS_51163</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mobile Phones: A Panacea for the Implementation of e-voting in Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Check that direct lifting from reviewed works of literature is avoided. Use free online plagiarism check tools to reduce the occurrence of this.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract: The abstract is very ambiguous with very lengthy sentences. (It is almost like an introduction). A typical abstract should give a brief account of what was done in the study, how it was done and the results obtained.</b></p> <p><b>Keyword: The keywords should be ordered alphabetically.</b></p> <p><b>Introduction: The introduction was properly presented by the authors. They were able to clearly establish the reason for adoption of m-voting over e-voting system in developing. However, for an academic work, flying phrases such as: "and so on" should be avoided.</b></p> <p><b>Literature review: The presentation of the reviewed literature was properly done. However, the presentations of the related works need to be modified. The author should ensure that capture recent studies in this domain (I.e. works within the last 5 years) Also, the reviewed literatures should not be presented in isolation. They should be linked with phrases such as: Also, Similarly, In addition...</b></p> <p><b>Conclusion: Recommendations for further studies should be included in the conclusion</b></p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The article have been well written. However, minor revision is required to make it better.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ajibo Chinenye Augustine</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Nigera, Nsukka, Nigera</b>