



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_40203
Title of the Manuscript:	INCIDENCE OF PLANT VIRAL DISEASE SYMPTOMS AND THEIR TRANSMISSION AGENTS IN DUTSIN-MA METROPOLIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Plants have been a major source of food, fibre, medicine and shelter since their domestication several years ago (Savary et al., 2006).</p> <p>Should be</p> <p>Plants have been a major source of food, fibre, medicine and shelter since their domestication ten thousand years ago (Savary et al., 2006).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	In discussion, line 142; "In the same vain" should be "In the same vein"	
Optional/General comments	I think the paper is worth publishing. I am a little worried that suspected disease may not be thorough enough. How can you be sure that the symptoms are not a bacterial or fungal disease?	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kathleen Hefferon
Department, University & Country	Cornell University, USA

