



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_50383
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiology and clinical profile of male infertility at the Fertilization Centre IRIFIV in Casablanca, Morocco, around 295 Cases.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The authors have made a comparison on the affect of male and female infertility on the psycho emotional balance of a couple, where the authors have opined that male infertility has profound affects on this balance, please quote a reference for this</li> </ul> <p>Patients and Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Line 52 – 57 – Please write as paragraphs and not as points</li> <li>- Line 64 – Please mention the edition and year (2010) of semen analysis and add a reference for the same</li> <li>- Normal semen analysis values – Please write as a descriptive passage and not as points (and this is not at all required because the authors have already mentioned that they have used WHO 2010 criteria)</li> <li>- Line 73 – <b>what is motility at 4am ?</b></li> </ul> <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Fig 2 – what is ans ?</li> <li>- Oligospermia and teratospermia – these are outdated terminologies, please use the terminologies as enshrined in the WHO manual of 2010 which is ologozoospermia and teratozoospermia</li> <li>-</li> </ul> <p>Secondary male infertility vs. Secondary infertility</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Secondary infertility is described for the couple whereas secondary male infertility is proved case of infertility in a previously fertile male (please use these cautiously in line 92 and 93)</li> <li>-</li> </ul> <p>Abbreviations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There is no need to mention abbreviations at the end, please mention the full forms as they appear in the text</li> </ul> <p>Discrepancies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In the methods section the authors have mentioned about several parameters like culture, endocrine workup and deferensograpgy, but the results section have no mention of these parameters</li> <li>- Retrospective study vs. Semen was collected (Prospective)</li> </ul> <p>Objective of the Study vs. Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No coherence between the proposed research question and the conclusion</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Line 23, 24 – please use regular English terms for spermogram, spermoculture and deferensograpgy - such terms may reduce the visibility and citation of the paper</li> <li>- Line 31 – please restructure appropriately</li> </ul> <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Line 44 – paraclinical / laboratory</li> </ul> <p>Methods</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Line 57 – defertility ?</li> </ul> <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Please write the figure number above the figure</li> <li>- Counting in patients with varicocoele – Sperm count in Varicocoele</li> </ul> <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Several grammatical errors need to be addressed</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It is mandatory to line number the manuscript before submission, the authors have not done the same in accordance with the journal's protocol hence the manuscript had to be line numbered before review, find the line numbered manuscript for reference.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Ethical Clearance not mentioned</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammed Ismail Khan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ESIC Medical College, Hyderabad, India</b>