



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_51032
Title of the Manuscript:	POWER-LAW BEHAVIOR OF ALTERNATIVE SPLICING OF EXONS IN HUMAN TRANSCRIPTOME
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript "POWER-LAW BEHAVIOR OF ALTERNATIVE SPLICING OF EXONS IN HUMAN TRANSCRIPTOME" is very informative, well presented. This manuscript needs major revision and might be accepted for publication.</p> <p>Comments as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Gene name should be <i>italic</i> and please follow gene nomenclature guidelines for human and mouse. 2. How many genes were taken into consideration? Explain in abstract and method section of the manuscript. 3. Do non-coding transcripts like circular RNA, small nuclear RNA, long/small non-coding RNA genes were also part of analysis or excluded from analysis? 4. Dataset 1 and formed Dataset 2 should be provided as supplementary table. <p>There are many typing errors and grammar mistakes</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kiran Sharma
Department, University & Country	USA