



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_51032
Title of the Manuscript:	POWER-LAW BEHAVIOR OF ALTERNATIVE SPLICING OF EXONS IN HUMAN TRANSCRIPTOME
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The writing in this manuscript needs extensive revision, particularly with respect to grammar and word flow. I would also suggest more clear definitions of ECI, total ECO, in-ECI, and out-ECI. The paper may be a bit hard to follow for many "bench" "wet lab" molecular biologists, so clarity of explanation would be helpful. Perhaps a summary figure, for the Discussion/Conclusion, to help illustrate the major points/findings in graphical form, would be of benefit for some readers. Overall, the topic was interesting, methods reasonably sound, and conclusions have legitimacy. I would focus on those aspects of the paper that would enhance its meaningfulness for a broad audience of molecular and cell biologists and geneticists.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Michael Bordonaro
Department, University & Country	Geisinger Commonwealth School of Medicine, Pennsylvania, USA