

Original Research Article

Effect of cowpea biscuits on nutritional and cognitive development of pre-school children

Abstract: make as aim, location, duration of study, design of study, method, result and conclusion

Worldwide, malnutrition remains a major public health problem in pre-school children. Generally, childhood malnutrition has been documented as an important risk factor for child's nutritional and intellectual growth. This study aimed to determine the effect of supplementation of cowpea biscuits on nutritional status and cognitive development of malnourished pre-school children. Pre-school children, aged 3-5 years, from Saraswati Shishu Mandir School, Pantnagar were screened for low weight for age. Malnourished children (N=48), who volunteered, were divided equally into three groups viz. control I (no supplementation), control II (refined wheat flour biscuits) and experimental group (cowpea biscuits) and subjected to intervention for the period of three months. Parameters like height, weight, mid upper arm circumference (MUAC) and cognitive development was analyzed before and after supplementation in each month. No significant differences between groups were observed during follow-up concerning height, weight, MUAC, however differences tended to be in expected direction. Experimental group supplemented with cowpea biscuits outperformed significantly in all the domains of cognitive development as compared to control groups. The study concluded that cowpea supplementation is likely to be more effective in cognitive development of malnourished preschool children in short period of time.

Keywords: Supplementation, height, weight, legume, protein and malnutrition

Introduction – references to be numbered sequentially in square bracket

Prosperity and future of a nation depends on the physical and mental health of its children. It must be acknowledged that children are valuable human resource who will contribute substantially to the national economy, development and progress. Promoting health and education at early age is an important commitment.

Nutritional status of children has a major effect on their cognitive development (**Marotz, 2015**). Indeed, there is no dispute over the importance of the study of child's nutritional status. Sufficient body of literature existing suggests malnutrition not only affects physical growth,

33 physical activity, motor development but also hampers attention, memory, learning, thinking,
34 perception and impairs intellectual functioning (**Nyaradi *et al.*, 2013; Prado and Dewey, 2014**).

35 Malnutrition has been recognized to cause serious health, development and economic
36 problem. India is home to 29.4, 38.7 and 15.1 per cent of the underweight, stunted and wasted
37 children below 5 years of age (**RSoC, 2014**). **Whaley *et al.* (2003)** have discussed that children
38 who suffer from malnutrition of different grades exhibit significant insufficiency in intellectual
39 and behaviour functioning. Malnourished children typically are fatigued and uninterested in their
40 social environment and they are less likely to establish relationships or explore and learn from
41 their surroundings. This consequently affects their overall cognitive development. Malnutrition
42 during preschool age results in later poor school performance, working ability and physical
43 growth (**Kumari and Jain, 2005**).

44 Protein energy malnutrition (PEM) occurs typically in preschool children under 5 years,
45 whenever the diet is poor in protein and energy. Shortage of cheap, easily digestible
46 complementary foods, containing good quality protein, is one of the main causes of malnutrition
47 in children. Generally, the animal proteins foods are in short supply and expensive.
48 Supplementation of low cost vegetable proteins such as legumes could be an important mode to
49 alleviate the problem of PEM. With a high protein content, along with energy values and the
50 important vitamin and mineral content, legumes should be recognized for their nutritional
51 importance. **Prasad and Kochhar (2015)** suggested a combination of cereals with legumes
52 would improve the protein and nutrient density of the subsequent food products. **Ishfaq *et al.***
53 **(2014)** studied the biological value (BV) of cowpea blended with rice and barley weaning foods
54 in albino rats and found that highest BV (90.23%) was observed in diet prepared from autoclaved
55 rice flour and malted cowpea flour.

56 The present study has been carried out with the objectives to develop the cowpea biscuits,
57 to determine the sensory acceptability and nutritional composition of biscuits and to examine the
58 effect of cowpea biscuits on nutritional status and cognitive development of malnourished
59 children.

60 **2. Materials and Methods - references to be numbered sequentially in square bracket from** 61 **introduction onwards**

62 **2.1 Procurement of raw material**

63 Local variety of cowpea, refined wheat flour, castor sugar, vanilla essence, hydrogenated
64 fat, sodium bicarbonate and baking powder were purchased from the local market of Pantnagar.

65 **2.2 Processing of grain**

66 Cowpea seeds were sun dried for one day and manually cleaned to remove stones, grit,
67 chaff and other impurities. After sun drying, the seeds were oven roasted at 120°C for 2 hours in
68 order to remove its anti-nutrients before milling (**Udensi et al., 2007**). The roasted seeds were
69 then milled in flour mill to give the finer flour. Cowpea flour thus prepared was packed in air
70 tight containers and was used for making biscuits in the *Phoolbagh* Bakery, Pantnagar.

71 **2.3 Biscuits preparation**

72 Biscuits were prepared using the basic recipe suggested by **Vandana et.al. 2014**.

73 **2.4 Locale and period of study**

74 Out of the three primary schools located in Pantnagar, Saraswati Shishu Mandir had been
75 randomly selected by lottery system. This school was used as a research base from where
76 preschoolers were randomly drawn as respondents for the present study. The study was carried
77 out for a period of three months from February 12, 2011 to May 12, 2011.

78 **2.5 Selection of subjects**

79 Firstly the Principal of Saraswati Shishu Mandir was approached in the school itself and
80 oriented about the goal of the study. Thereafter, written consent of him was obtained to make
81 anthropometric assessments of children aged 3-5 years. Anthropometric measurement *viz.*, height
82 and weight of 86 subjects were recorded for selection of malnourished children before
83 supplementation.

84 After preliminary survey, 48 preschool children were identified as malnourished according to
85 weight for age criteria using Gomez classification. The written consent was drawn from parents
86 of the subjects before intervention and the procedure adopted in present investigation was
87 explained to the parent or guardian.

88 Finally 16 subjects were present in the three groups.

89 **Control I Group:** 16 children kept on home diet only.

90 **Control II Group:** 16 children fed refined wheat flour biscuits.

91 **Experimental Group:** 16 children supplemented with 70 per cent cowpea incorporated
92 biscuits.

93 **2.6 Selection of biscuits**

94 Equi-calorie biscuits providing about 500 Kcal of energy/day/child were chosen for
95 supplementation purposes in case of pre-school children.

96 Each supplemented group received ten biscuits comprising the weight of 100g daily. Five
97 biscuits were given in the morning at about 9 O'clock and five biscuits in the mid morning at
98 about 11 O'clock.

99 **2.7 Sensory evaluation of biscuits**

100 Both the biscuits were evaluated by a panel of 15 semi- trained judges selected at random
101 from the Department of Foods & Nutrition, College of Home Science, Pantnagar. The products

102 were attributed for color, texture, flavor, after taste and overall acceptability by score card
103 method where 1= very poor, 2= poor, 3=fair, 4=good and 5=very good.

104 **2.8 Nutritive evaluation of biscuits**

105 The nutritive analysis of 70 per cent cowpea incorporated biscuit and 100 per cent refined
106 wheat flour biscuits were done in triplicates by AOAC (1995).

107 **2.9 Administration of scale**

108 Hema Pandey's cognitive development test for pre-schoolers was administered orally in a
109 room to ensure privacy of the administration of the test. The child was given sufficient time to
110 complete all the test items. The total score constitutes the "raw" scores. Each child was subjected
111 to test the same day.

112 **2.10 Statistical analysis**

113 Least significant difference was used to analyze significant difference in sensory
114 attributes of 70 per cent cowpea incorporated biscuits and refined wheat flour biscuits. Nutritive
115 value of both biscuits were analyzed by paired comparison test 't-test'. The data was analyzed
116 for percentage and ANOVA to find difference in nutritional status and cognitive development
117 between the groups during pre and post supplementation.

118 **Results and Discussion - references mentioned in discussion to be numbered sequentially in**
119 **square bracket from introduction and methods; leave the space before mentioning of units**

120 **3.**

121 **3.1 Sensory evaluation**

122 Among the two variation mean score for the colour (4.2), appearance (4.0), flavor (4.2),
123 taste (4.4), texture (4.40), aftertaste (4.47) and overall acceptability (4.3) of the refined wheat flour
124 biscuit was high as compared to cowpea biscuits as they have mean score of 3.47 for colour ,

125 3.67 for appearance, 3.6 for score, 3.53 for taste and texture, 3.13 for aftertaste and 3.7 for
 126 overall acceptability .The reasons of low mean score of cowpea biscuits are colour of biscuit
 127 changed from creamy to light brown, texture become slightly rough and also contain little
 128 amount of beany flavor . However, there was non-significant difference in the colour,
 129 appearance, flavour taste, texture, aftertaste and overall acceptability of both the biscuits.

130 **Table. 1 Sensory evaluation of biscuits (n=15)**

Biscuits	Cowpea biscuit (70 per cent)	Refined Wheat Flour biscuit	LSD
Colour	3.47	4.20	1.34 ^{ns}
Appearance	3.67	4.00	ns
Flavour	3.60	4.20	1.60 ^{ns}
Taste	3.53	4.40	1.61 ^{ns}
Texture	3.53	4.40	1.07 ^{ns}
Aftertaste	3.13	4.47	2.12 ^{ns}
Overall acceptability	3.73	4.33	1.26 ^{ns}

131 LSD- Least Significant Difference ns- non significant difference

132 3.2 Nutritive value

133 All the developed biscuits provide one third of the day's requirement. The protein content
 134 of the biscuits is around 15.2 g per 100 g. Therefore, both calories and proteins provided by the
 135 biscuits can easily satisfy the day's requirement of children of 3-5 years of age. Presence of good
 136 amounts of fat and total ash made the biscuits rich in several macro and micronutrients.

137 **Table.2 Nutrient analysis of biscuits on as is basis (n=3)**

Components	Cowpea Biscuit (70 per cent)	Refined Wheat Flour Biscuit	't' value
Moisture (g%)	3.2±0.2	4.5±0.1	8.7*
Ash (g%)	1.3±0.0	0.6±0.1	24.6*
Crude protein (g%)	15.2±0.1	12.2±0.5	9.8*
Crude fat (g%)	24.4±0.2	24.8±0.3	2.7 ^{ns}
Crude fiber (g%)	4.4±0.2	1.5±0.1	21*

Carbohydrate by difference (g%)	66.8±0.4	68.5±0.1	7.1 [*]
Energy (Kcal/100g)	547±2.7	546±3.8	0.2 ^{ns}
Iron (mg/100g)	4.3 ±0.3	3.1±0.1	4.9 ^{ns}
Zinc (mg/100g)	3.1 ±0.2	0.1±0.1	26.4 [*]

138 *significant at 5% ns-non significant Mean ± S.D.

139 The values are mean of triplicate estimations/observations

140

141 3.3 Changes in anthropometric parameters before and after supplementation of biscuits

142 Height, Weight and MUAC:

143 From Table 3 it is clear that the mean increment in weight, height and MUAC of children
 144 between the groups did not differ significantly. Observations indicate mean increment in weight,
 145 height and MUAC was independent of supplement of cowpea and refined wheat flour biscuits.

146

147 **Table 3: Mean increment in anthropometric measurements after 90 days supplementation**
 148 **of biscuits**

Groups	Weight (kg)				Height (cm)				MUAC (cm)			
	Initial	Final	Difference	Result	Initial	Final	Difference	Result	Initial	Final	Difference	Result
¹ Control- I	13.5 ± 1.1	14.1 ± 1.1	0.6	1 Vs 2-ns 1 Vs 3-ns 2 Vs 3-ns	98.5 ± 4.4	99.7 ± 4.3	1.2	1 Vs 2-ns 1 Vs 3-ns 2 Vs 3-ns	14.8 ± 0.8	14.9 ± 0.7	0.1	1 Vs 2-ns 1 Vs 3-ns 2 Vs 3-ns
² Control- II	14.0 ± 1.3	14.3 ± 1.4	0.3		100.7 ± 5.2	102.2 ± 5.6	1.5		14.8 ± 0.9	14.8 ± 0.9	0	
³ Experimental	13.4 ± 1.1	14.2 ± 1.1	0.8		98.8 ± 4	100.0 ± 4	1.2		14.9 ± 0.9	15.1 ± 0.8	0.2	

149 Values are Mean±S.D.

150 ns = non- significant (p>0.05),

151 Values in parentheses shows mean increment in anthropometric measurements

152 1= Control- I, 2= Control- II and 3= Experimental group

153

154 **3.4 Effect of supplementation on shift in malnutrition grades in the study groups:**

155 Initially 6.25% subjects of experimental group were in grade III malnutrition. There were
 156 12.5 % subjects in control- I, 6.25% subjects in control- II and also in experimental group in
 157 grade II malnutrition. Whereas 87.5% subjects in control- I and experimental group and 93.75%
 158 subjects were in grade I malnutrition.

159 At the end of the study period, 18.75% of subjects in control- I and control- II moved from grade
 160 II and grade I to normal. Only 75% and 6.25% in control- I, were still in grade- I and grade- II
 161 respectively. In control- II, 68.75 % and 12.5% were still in grade I and grade II. In experimental
 162 group, 12.5% of subjects moved from grade II and grade I to normal and only 81.25% and 6.25%

163 were in grade I and grade II respectively. However, results of shift in malnutrition grades showed
 164 non-significant difference within as well between the groups during supplementation period.

165 **Table 4: Shift in malnutrition grades**

GROUPS		0 day	30 day	60 day	90 day	Chi square
C-I (No biscuits)	Grade I (mild)	87.5 (14)	87.5 (14)	81.25 (13)	75 (12)	3.68 ^{ns}
	Grade II (moderate)	12.5 (2)	6.25 (1)	6.25 (1)	6.25 (1)	
	Grade III (severe)	0	0	0	0	
	Normal	0	6.25 (1)	12.5 (2)	18.75 (3)	
C-II (Refined wheat flour biscuits)	Grade I (mild)	93.75 (15)	75 (12)	81.25 (13)	68.75 (11)	4.12 ^{ns}
	Grade II (moderate)	6.25 (1)	18.75 (3)	12.5 (2)	12.5 (2)	
	Grade III (severe)	0	0	0	0	
	Normal	0	6.25 (1)	6.25 (1)	18.75 (3)	
Experimental group (Cowpea biscuit)	Grade I (mild)	87.5 (14)	81.25 (13)	81.25 (13)	81.25 (13)	2.13 ^{ns}
	Grade II (moderate)	6.25 (1)	12.5 (2)	12.5 (2)	6.25 (1)	
	Grade III (severe)	6.25 (1)	0	0	0	
	Normal	0	6.25 (1)	6.25 (1)	12.5 (2)	
Chi square	0.06^{ns}					

166 Values in parentheses indicate number of preschool children ns = non- significant

167

168 **3.5 Cognitive development of children:**

169 A close perusal of Table 5 illustrates that 43.8%, 56.3% and 43.8% of children from
 170 Control I, Control II and Experimental Group, respectively were conceptually low and only
 171 6.2%, 12.5% and 6.2% were conceptually high, respectively before intervention. However, after
 172 90 days a tremendous gain in conceptual skills was observed. Only 6.2%, 12.5% and 0% of

173 children from Control I, Control II and Experimental Group respectively were conceptually low.
174 After 90 days 31.3%, 43.8% and 68.8% of children from three groups respectively were
175 conceptually high.

176 Information characteristics reflecting cognition in 3-5 years old children showed that before
177 supplementation 56.2%, 31.3% and 31.2% children were at low level from Control I, Control II
178 and Experimental Group, respectively and 0% children from Control I as well as from
179 Experimental group and 12.4% children from Control II group were at high level. However, after
180 90 days only 6.2%, 0% and 0% of children from Control I, Control II and Experimental Group
181 respectively were at low level and 25%, 18.8% and 68.8% of children from three groups
182 respectively were at high level.

183 Comprehension skill shows that in Control I, Control II and Experimental group 12.5%, 31.2%
184 and 12.5% children were in low level and no one was in high level. After 90 days, 0% children in
185 Control I and Experimental group, 6.2% children in Control II group, respectively, were in low
186 level; however, 18.8 % children of Control I and Control II group and 56.2 % children of
187 Experimental group were in high level.

188 In visual perception, 31.2% children of Control I, Control II and Experimental Group,
189 respectively, were at low level and 0% children of Control I as well as of Control II group and
190 12.4% children of Experimental Group were in high level. After 90 days, 18.8 % children of
191 Control I & Control II group were in low level while it is interesting to note that from
192 Experimental group no one was found in low level. However, 6.2% children from Control I
193 group, 18.8% children from Control II group and 87.5% children from Experimental group were
194 in high level, respectively after intervention.

195 Regarding memory, results of the study showed that, 87.5%, 81.2% and 56.2% of children from
196 Control I, Control II and Experimental Group, respectively were in low level and none of the
197 three groups, respectively, were in high, before intervention. However, after 90 days 43.8%,
198 25.0% and 0% of children from Control I, Control II and Experimental Group respectively were
199 in low level and 6.2%, 0% and 31.2% of children from Control I, Control II and Experimental
200 Group respectively were at high level.

201 In Object vocabulary, 12.5% children of Control I were in low as well as in high level, in Control
202 II group 18.8% children were in low and 25% children were in high level and in Experimental
203 group, 0% children were in low level and 31.2% children were in high level . However, after 90
204 days it was observed that none of the children from either group was in low level while 87.5%,
205 68.8% and 100% of children from Control I, Control II and Experimental Group, respectively
206 were at high level.

207 The overall score reveal that 43.8%, 31.3% and 12.5% of children from Control I, Control II and
208 Experimental Group respectively were at low level and only 0%, 12.4%, 6.2% of children from
209 Control I, Control II and Experimental Group, respectively were at high level before
210 intervention. After three months of supplementation it was observed that none of the child from
211 either group was at low level but 31.2%, 25.0% and 81.2% of children from Control I, Control II
212 and Experimental Group respectively were at high level.

213 The rise in cognitive development after 90 days was more in the children from experimental
214 group than those from Control I & Control II group. The reason for it may be attributed to
215 supplementing cowpea biscuits in the diet of experimental group.

216 An overview of Table 5 elucidates that all the domains of cognitive development shows that after
217 three months of supplementation period there is a marked shift of all the three groups (Control I,

218 Control II and Experimental group) from low to high level of cognitive development which may
 219 be due to maturation. But highest shift from low level to high level of cognitive development
 220 was noted among preschoolers of Experimental group which clearly indicate the effect of
 221 fortification of protein and energy dense foods in the form of weaning biscuits.

222 **Table 5. Percentage distribution of preschool children on different domains of cognitive**
 223 **development over the period of supplementation**

Domain of cognitive development	Level	Control I (n=16)		Control II (n=16)		Experimental (n=16)	
		B	A	B	A	B	A
Conceptual skill	Low	43.8	6.2	56.3	12.4	43.8	-
	Medium	50.0	62.5	31.2	43.8	50.0	31.2
	High	06.2	31.3	12.5	43.8	6.2	68.8
Information	Low	56.2	6.2	31.3	-	31.2	-
	Medium	43.8	68.8	56.3	81.2	68.8	31.2
	High	-	25.0	12.4	18.8	-	68.8
Comprehension	Low	12.5	-	31.2	6.2	12.5	-
	Medium	87.5	81.2	68.8	75.0	87.5	43.8
	High	-	18.8	-	18.8	-	56.2
Visual Perception	Low	31.2	18.8	31.2	18.8	31.3	-
	Medium	68.8	75.0	68.8	62.4	56.3	12.5
	High	-	06.2	-	18.8	12.4	87.5
Memory	Low	87.5	43.8	81.2	25.0	56.2	-
	Medium	12.5	50.0	18.8	75.0	43.8	68.8
	High	-	06.2	-	-	-	31.2
Object Vocabulary	Low	12.5	-	18.8	-	-	-
	Medium	75.0	12.5	56.2	31.2	68.8	-
	High	12.5	87.5	25.0	68.8	31.2	100.0
Overall Score	Low	43.8	-	31.3	-	12.5	-
	Medium	56.2	68.8	56.3	75.0	81.3	18.8
	High	-	31.2	12.4	25.0	06.2	81.2

224 Low: 0-9 score Medium: 10-18 score High: 19-27 score n=no. of children

225 B:Before supplementation A: After supplementation

226

227 **3.6 Mean score in various domains of cognitive development**

228 It can be well seen from the Table 6 that after 90 days of supplementation period, in
 229 Conceptual skill there was significant difference found between Experimental (\bar{x} =20.3) and
 230 Control I group (\bar{x} =16.0). In case of Information there was significant difference between
 231 Experimental group (\bar{x} =6.1) with Control I (\bar{x} =4.6) and Control II (\bar{x} =4.4) group. Similarly in
 232 Comprehension, Visual Perception, Memory, Object Vocabulary and Overall Score significant
 233 difference was observed between experimental group with control I group and also with control
 234 II group. These results are indicative of the better cognitive development of experimental group
 235 (supplemented with 70 percent cowpea incorporated biscuits) followed by control II (fed refined
 236 wheat flour biscuits) and control I (home diet) bringing out the importance of cowpea.

237 **Table.6 Mean score in the domain of cognitive development**

Domain of cognitive development	Groups	Mean score	
		0 Day	90 Day
Conceptual skill	Control I (1)	10.7	16.0 ^b
	Control II (2)	11.6	17.6
	Experimental (3)	11.4	20.3 ^a
	C.D. at 5 %		
	1 v. 2	Ns	Ns
	1 v. 3	Ns	2.9
	2 v. 3	Ns	Ns
	Information	Control I (1)	2.4
Control II (2)		2.9	4.4 ^b
Experimental (3)		3.1	6.1 ^a
C.D. at 5 %			
1 v. 2		Ns	Ns
1 v. 3		Ns	0.8

	2 v. 3	Ns	0.8
Comprehension	Control I (1)	3.1	4.6 ^b
	Control II (2)	3.2	4.9 ^b
	Experimental (3)	3.7	5.6 ^a
	C.D. at 5 %		
	1 v. 2	Ns	Ns
	1 v. 3	Ns	0.6
	2 v. 3	Ns	0.6
Visual Perception	Control I (1)	2.9	4.1 ^b
	Control II (2)	2.9	4.2 ^b
	Experimental (3)	3.7	6.2 ^a
	C.D. at 5 %		
	1 v. 2	Ns	Ns
	1 v. 3	Ns	0.9
	2 v. 3	Ns	0.9
Memory	Control I (1)	1.3 ^b	4.3 ^b
	Control II (2)	2.2	4.5 ^b
	Experimental (3)	2.9 ^a	6.5 ^a
	C.D. at 5 %		
	1 v. 2	Ns	Ns
	1 v. 3	1.2	1.2
	2 v. 3	Ns	1.2
Object vocabulary	Control I (1)	3.7	5.1
	Control II (2)	3.6	5.1 ^b
	Experimental (3)	4.2	5.6 ^a
	C.D. at 5 %		
	1 v. 2	Ns	Ns
	1 v. 3	Ns	Ns
	2 v. 3	Ns	0.5
Overall score	Control I (1)	24.2	38.7 ^b
	Control II (2)	26.5	40.8 ^b
	Experimental (3)	29.1	50.3 ^a
	C.D. at 5 %		
	1 v. 2	Ns	Ns

	1 v. 3	Ns	5.5
	2 v. 3	Ns	5.5

238 Control I (no supplementation), Control II (fed refined wheat flour biscuits), Experimental (supplemented with 70

239 per cent cowpea incorporated biscuits) ,ns- non significant difference

240 Values with dissimilar letters in superscript are significantly different (p<0.05)

241

242 The findings of present study showed that there was no significant effect of the
 243 intervention for the anthropometric outcomes viz. height, weight and MUAC between the
 244 groups. Several factors probably contributed to the absence of a statistically significant effect on
 245 growth. First, significant number of children was in the category of mild and moderate
 246 malnutrition at baseline. Second, the intervention was of a relatively short duration, especially
 247 given the age of our participants and hence their slower growth rate relative to preschoolers
 248 **(Eveleth and Tanner, 1990).**

249 The interesting finding in the present study was that after 3 months of providing cowpea
 250 supplemented biscuits to the children from experimental group, they improved significantly on
 251 all the domains of cognitive development in comparison to those for control II and control I
 252 group. The findings of the present study are in line with that of **Nazni et al., 2010** who reported
 253 that after three months supplementation of potato flour biscuits, cognitive performance was good
 254 in the supplemented group children as compared to the control group. Similarly, **Solon et al in**
 255 **2003** reported that supplementation of multiple-micronutrient-fortified fruit powder beverage for
 256 16 weeks showed significant improvements in cognitive performance. In another study
 257 supplementation of beta-carotene fortified biscuits significantly improved the cognitive functions
 258 of the children [**Van Stuijvenberg et al** in 1999].

259 The limitation of present study is that biochemical method to assess protein energy malnutrition
 260 status should have been adopted to see the immediate effect of supplementation.

261 **4. Conclusion**

262 It can be concluded that experimental group (supplemented with cowpea incorporated
263 biscuits), control II group (supplemented with refined wheat flour biscuits) and control I (no
264 supplementation) differed on components of cognitive ability. The high scores on cognitive
265 development among experimental group may be attributed to the effectiveness of supplementary
266 nutrition provided at school.

267

268 **5. References – compile and arrange sequentially from introduction, method and**
269 **discussion**

- 270 1. Akinjayeju O, Enude OT. Effects of dehulling on some properties of cowpea (*Vigna*
271 *unquiculata* L. Walp) flours. Italian Journal of Food Science. 2002; 14 (1): 53-58.
- 272 2. Udensi E A, Ekwu F C, Isinguzo J N. Antinutrient factors of vegetable cowpea
273 (*sesquipedalis*) seeds during thermal processing. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 2007;
274 6(2):194-197.
- 275 3. Vandana, Kushwaha A, Kumar, A. Development of high protein biscuits from cowpea
276 (*Vigna unquiculata*) flour. International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research.
277 2014;12(2): 288-291.
- 278 4. Van Stuijvenberg ME, Kvalsvig JD, Faber M, Kruger M, Kenoyer DG, Benade AJ. Effect of
279 iron-, iodine-, and beta-carotene-fortified biscuits on the micronutrient status of primary
280 school children: a randomized controlled trial. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1999;
281 69(3):497-503.
- 282 5. Marotz, L.R. 2015. Health, Safety and Nutrition of Young Child. 9th ed. USA, Cengage
283 Learning. 553p.

- 284 6. Nyaradi, A.; Li, J.; Hickling, S.; Foster, J. and Oddy, W.H. 2013. The role of nutrition in
285 children's neurocognitive development, from pregnancy through childhood. *Frontiers in*
286 *Human Neuroscience*. 7: 97.
- 287 7. Prado, E.L. and Dewey, K.G. 2014. Nutrition and brain development in early life. *Nutrition*
288 *Reviews*. 72(4): 267-284.
- 289 8. Whaley, S.E.; Sigman, M.; Neumann, C.; Bwio, N.; Guthrie, D.; Weiss, R.E.; Alber, S. and
290 Murphy, S.P. 2003. The impact of dietary intervention on the cognitive development of
291 Kenyan school children. *The Journal of Nutrition*. 133(11): 3965-3971S.
- 292 9. Ishfaq, B.; Iqbal, M.; njum, F.M.; Pasha, I.; Ishfaq, M.T. and Usman, M. 2014. Probing
293 nutritional assessment of cereal and cowpea based weaning food. *International Journal of*
294 *Scientific & Engineering Research*. 5(6): 423-428.
- 295 10. Prasad, P. and Kochhar, A. 2015. Nutritional intervention to combat malnutrition among
296 children under the age of five: A review. *International Journal of Health Sciences and*
297 *Research*. 5(2): 374-380.
- 298 11. AOAC. Official method of the association of official analytical chemists. Washington D.C.,
299 1995.
- 300 12. Chandrasekhar U, Hilda W. Supplementation studies with soy protein isolate based food mix
301 on 1-2 years old malnourished children- 1. Improvement in their anthropometric parameters.
302 *The Indian Journal of Nutrition and Dietetics*. 2004; 41: 324-336.
- 303 13. Eveleth PB, Tanner JM. Worldwide variation in human growth. 2nd ed, Cambridge, United
304 Kingdom, 1990.
- 305 14. Nazni P, Pradheepa S, Hasan A. Effects of weaning biscuits on the nutritional profile and the
306 cognitive development in preschool children. *Italian Journal of Pediatrics*. 2010; 36:18.

- 307 15. NFHS. Statistics on children in India. New Delhi, National Institute of Public Co-operation
308 and Child Development. 2005-06, 158p.
- 309 16. Solon FS, Sarol JNJ, Bernardo A, Solon JA, Mehansho H, Sanchez-Fermin LE, Wambangco
310 LS, Juhlin KD. Effect of a multiple-micronutrient-fortified fruit powder beverage on the
311 nutrition status, physical fitness, and cognitive performance of schoolchildren in the
312 Philippines. *Food Nutrition Bulletin*. 2003; 24(4):S129-40.
- 313 17. Kumari, S. and Jain, R. 2005. Assessment of nutritional status of school children from rural
314 Bihar. *The Indian Journal of Nutrition & Dietetics*. 42: 326-334.
- 315 18. Tewari P, Choudhary S, Shekhawat N. Cowpea utilization in India. In: Kumar D, Singh N B
316 ed. *Cowpea in India*, Scientific Publishers ,India. 2004, 256-257.

317

318